HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

28 AUGUST 2018 AT 6.30 PM

PRESENT: Mr R Ward - Chairman

Mr BE Sutton – Vice-Chairman

Mr DC Bill MBE, Mr SL Bray (for Mr KWP Lynch), Mrs MA Cook, Mr WJ Crooks, Mr MA Hall, Mrs L Hodgkins, Mr E Hollick, Mr C Ladkin, Mrs J Richards, Mr RB Roberts, Mrs H Smith, Ms BM Witherford and Ms AV Wright

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 11 Councillor Mr M Nickerson was also in attendance.

Officers in attendance: Rhiannon Hill, Julie Kenny, Helen Knott, Rebecca Owen, Rob Parkinson and Michael Rice

150 APOLOGIES AND SUBSTITUTIONS

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors Bessant, Lynch and Surtees, with the substitution of Councillor Bray for Councillor Lynch authorised in accordance with council procedure rule 10.

151 MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Roberts, seconded by Councillor Cook and

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the minutes of the meeting held on 31 July 2018 be confirmed and signed by the chairman.

152 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillors Cook, Sutton and Ward declared a personal interest in application 18/00425/FUL as members of the MIRA Liaison Committee.

153 <u>DECISIONS DELEGATED AT PREVIOUS MEETING</u>

It was reported that the decision for application 18/00468/OUT had been issued. The conditional permission granted for application 17/01050/OUT was subject to a section 106 agreement which was being drafted.

154 18/00425/FUL - HORIBA MIRA LTD, WATLING STREET, CALDECOTE, NUNEATON

Application for construction of a connected and autonomous vehicle (CAV) testing track, a control tower and storage building, ground works, landscaping and associated infrastructure.

Notwithstanding the officer's recommendation that permission be granted subject to conditions, concern was expressed about the siting of the proposed track and the Highways Authority's objections. It was moved by Councillor Bray and seconded by Councillor Richards that the application be deferred to allow the applicant to investigate re-siting of the track and to discuss the concerns of Leicestershire County Council. This motion was accepted as the substantive motion for discussion.

Following further discussion, Councillor Richards proposed an amendment that a site visit also be undertaken. This amendment was accepted by Councillor Bray.

Councillor Bray along with eight other councillors stood to request a recorded vote on the motion to defer the application. The vote was taken as follows:

Councillors Bill, Bray, Cook, Crooks, Hodgkins, Hollick, Ladkin, Richards, Roberts, Smith, Witherford and Wright voted FOR the motion (12);

Councillors Sutton and Ward voted AGAINST the motion (2);

Councillor Hall abstained from voting.

The motion was therefore declared CARRIED and it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the application be deferred for the following reasons:

- (i) To allow the applicant to consider re-siting the track;
- (ii) To allow a member site visit to take place;
- (iii) To allow discussion with Leicestershire County Council on the highways authority's objections.

The meeting adjourned at 7.52pm to allow those in the public gallery to leave and reconvened at 7.59pm.

155 18/00302/FUL - LAND SOUTH OF AMBER WAY, BURBAGE

Application for erection of 40 dwellings and associated infrastructure.

Notwithstanding the officer's recommendation that planning permission be granted subject to conditions and a S106 agreement, some members felt that the application would have a detrimental impact on the highway and on highway safety, there was no requirement for the housing and it would have an adverse impact on residential amenity. It was moved by Councillor Wright and seconded by Councillor Bray that the committee be minded to refuse permission. Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the Planning Committee be minded to refuse the application and it be brought back to a future meeting.

156 18/00530/OUT - LAND WEST OF BREACH LANE, EARL SHILTON

Application for erection of three dwellings (outline – access only).

It was moved by Councillor Sutton and seconded by Councillor Cook that permission be granted subject to the conditions outlined in the officer's report. Upon being put to the vote, the motion was LOST.

Notwithstanding the officer's recommendation that permission be granted, it was moved by Councillor Richards and seconded by Councillor Wright that the committee be minded to refuse permission due to the application site being outside of the settlement boundary. Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the Planning Committee be minded to refuse permission and the application be brought back to a future meeting.

157 18/00581/FUL - 98 WOLVEY ROAD, BURBAGE

Application for change of use from A1 to A3 (café) and erection of lean to canopy (part retrospective).

Having reached 9.30pm, it was moved by Councillor Witherford, seconded by Councillor Bray and

RESOLVED – the meeting be permitted to continue.

In returning to the planning application under debate, some members expressed concern about the traffic and dangerous parking in the vicinity of the café. It was moved by Councillor Hall and seconded by Councillor Wright that members be minded to refuse permission. Upon being put to the vote, the motion was CARRIED and it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the Planning Committee be minded to refuse permission and the application be brought back to a future meeting.

158 <u>18/00353/FUL - COLD COMFORT FARM, ROGUES LANE, HINCKLEY</u>

Application for change of use to a dog day care centre (retrospective).

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Councillor Smith and

RESOLVED -

- (i) Permission be granted subject to the conditions outlined in the officer's report'
- (ii) The Interim Head of Planning be granted delegated powers to determine the final detail of planning conditions.

159 <u>17/01297/FUL - 84 LEICESTER ROAD, HINCKLEY</u>

Application for erection of seven dwellings, garages and associated drive (resubmission of application 17/00096/FUL).

Following a decision of "minded to refuse" at a previous meeting, members felt that there had been no change since that debate and felt that the application should be refused due to the layout and density contrary to policy DM10. It was moved by Councillor Bray, seconded by Councillor Hodgkins and

<u>RESOLVED</u> – permission be refused due to being contrary to policy DM10 in terms of layout and density.

160 <u>APPEALS PROGRESS</u>

Members received an update in relation to progress on various appeals. It was moved by Councillor Crooks, seconded by Councillor Witherford and

RESOLVED – the report be noted.

(The Meeting closed at 10.15 pm)

CHAIRMAN	