

S106 DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS
REPORT OF DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE (COMMUNITY
DIRECTION)



Hinckley & Bosworth
Borough Council

A Borough to be proud of

WARDS AFFECTED: ALL WARDS

1. **PURPOSE OF REPORT**

To inform members of the Scrutiny Commission of the position in respect of the Section 106 contributions that have not been spent within the 5 year period that contain a 5 year claw back clause and therefore are at risk of being clawed back by the developer, and those that are over 4 years old but not beyond the 5 years threshold.

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

That the report be noted.

3. **BACKGROUND TO THE REPORT**

Developers/applicants can be requested to make financial contributions to make a planning application acceptable, where it would otherwise be refused, towards infrastructure needed as a consequence of their development, i.e. towards play and open space, libraries, education facilities etc. The contribution request has to be in accordance with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010. In addition, any contribution requested prior to the 27 March 2012 had to be in accordance with Circular 05/2005 Planning Obligations.

This can be done through entering into of a Section 106 agreement or the acceptance of a Unilateral Undertaking both of which identify the amount of contribution and when the contributions need to be paid, i.e. on the commencement of development or first occupation.

The latter option has no claw-back period. However, the money must be used for the purposes identified otherwise the developer may be entitled to claw the money back. Section 106 agreements have a claw-back period normally of 5 years, on the basis that if the infrastructure improvements are not in place by then, there is clearly no need for the facility.

The contributions are closely monitored through a database set-up on a parish basis and are available to the parish councils on request. This enables parish councils to clearly see what funds may come forward, to help them plan for improvements in their area. Open invitations have been sent to all parish council clerks with regard to receiving a presentation on understanding the full S106 process.

Whilst the database is complex, owing to the amount of information held, it helps to identify what money the development may bring in, when development has commenced, and monies outstanding. It also indicates where money has been committed through the Green Space Strategy.

When analysing the database, there is one s.106 agreement greater than 5 years old totaling £17,980.00, the Developer is in the process of clawing back this contribution. There is one s.106 agreement between 4 – 5 years totaling £72,200.00, and two between 3 – 4 years totaling £90,947.73:-

- Hinckley 44 Westfields Road, Hinckley £17,980.00 (5> yrs)

- Earl Shilton Land at 2 Oxford Street £72,200.00 (4-5 yrs)
- Earl Shilton 21 Breach Lane, Earl Shilton £44,730.00 (3-4 yrs)
- Hinckley 5 Mill Hill Road, Hinckley £46,217.73 (3-4 yrs)

The Section 106 Forum was set up 5 years ago and also monitors the database.

4. **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Contained within the body of the report.

5. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS [MR]**

The obligation as to when the monies must be repaid will depend upon the wording negotiated in the particular s.106 agreement. The two common obligations are for the Council to repay the monies:

1. after with period of 5 years – with no need for the developer to make request under the terms of the agreement
2. after 5 years but with the need for the developer to make a request, written or otherwise.

6. **CORPORATE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

This document contributes to Strategic Aim of the Corporate Plan 'Safer and Healthier Borough'

7. **CONSULTATION**

N/A

8. **RISK IMPLICATIONS**

It is the Council's policy to proactively identify and manage significant risks which may prevent delivery of business objectives.

It is not possible to eliminate or manage all risks all of the time and risks will remain which have not been identified. However, it is the officer's opinion based on the information available, that the significant risks associated with this decision/project have been identified, assessed and that controls are in place to manage them effectively.

The following significant risks associated with this report/decision were identified from this assessment:

Risk	Mitigating actions	Owner
If monies are paid within the timescale but not used for the purpose identified or not used at all, then these may be clawed back by the developer /applicant.	Close monitoring of database.	Simon Wood / Rob Morgan

9. **KNOWING YOUR COMMUNITY – EQUALITY AND RURAL IMPLICATIONS**

The effective monitoring of the database enables parish councils to clearly see what funds may come forward, to help them plan for improvements in their area.

Where there is a proposed new service, change of service, or a new or reviewed policy, an Equality Impact Assessment is required and has been undertaken and can be viewed here: 'non required'

10. **CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS**

By submitting this report, the report author has taken the following into account:

- Community Safety implications
- Environmental implications
- ICT implications
- Asset Management implications
- Human Resources implications
- Planning Implications
- Voluntary Sector

Background papers: S106 Database & NPPF

Contact Officer: Rob Morgan ext 5775