#### **SCRUTINY - 6 MARCH 2014**

# GREEN SPACE DELIVERY PLAN 2014 -2018 REPORT OF DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE (COMMUNITY DIRECTION)



#### WARDS AFFECTED: PRIMARILY HINCKLEY

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To seek Scrutiny Committee's endorsement of the Green Space Delivery Plan.

# 2. <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

- 2.1 That Scrutiny Committee endorses the Green Space Delivery Plan prior to it going to Council for approval on April 8 2014.
- 2.2 Members note the financial implications presented in section 4.

# 3. BACKGROUND TO THE REPORT

The Green Space Delivery Plan (Appendix 1) builds from the improvements delivered by the first Green Space Strategy and determines the priorities for improvements to HBBC owned green spaces for the next 4 years. It takes the Councils Strategic aims, improvements identified in the Green Infrastructure Study and the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study, and various other strategies to continue to implement the vision that:-

"Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council will work in partnership with the local community and other service providers to create a network of high quality and accessible green space that meets the needs of local people. We will provide clean, safe and well maintained parks and green spaces, which offer varied leisure opportunities for all ages, helping to make a Borough to be proud of, supporting regeneration, biodiversity and healthy living".

Themes and priorities within the plan are:-

- 1. Green space quality continue to improve the quality of green space provision with an emphasis on improving amenity green space and low quality sites, and reducing the quantitative and accessibility gaps identified within the Open Space Sport and Recreation Study.
- 2. Health and activity encourage healthy and active lifestyles
- 3. Green infrastructure support the green infrastructure interventions proposed in the Hinckley and Bosworth Green Infrastructure Study in order to help ensure that there is a network of adaptable and multifunctional green spaces across the Borough.
- 4. Biodiversity and environmental impact change the management and maintenance of sites to increase biodiversity

Four cross cutting delivery principles will underlie the delivery of the themes and priorities:-

- 1. Community involvement and partnership working engage and empower local communities to become actively involved in the management of local green spaces supporting the government's localism act.
- 2. Life long learning provide a varied programme of events and activities and volunteer opportunities which raise awareness of green space and environmental issues through promotion, education and lifelong learning.

- 3. Equalities ensure that green spaces provide a variety of leisure, recreation and play opportunities which are accessible to everyone in our communities.
- 4. Funding Given the current economic climate, seek to identify new mechanisms for funding open space improvements.

Key capital improvements identified are listed in the action plan in section 5. This is a working document and the timings of these projects may change depending upon the resources available. The Service Improvement Plan will be updated annually to reflect the funding availability, and to deliver the non capital priorities within the plan.

## 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS [IB]

4.1 Details of costs and funding for schemes are contained in section 6 of the Delivery Plan. Scheme budgets, impact on borrowing, the increase in the borrowing requirement and the balance in table one below will require Council approval. The estimated costs of new schemes are summarised in the table 1 below:-

Table 1: Capital Schemes

|             | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------|--------------|
| <u>Year</u> | <u>Cost</u>  |
| yr 13/14    | 213,570      |
| yr 14/15    | 239,000      |
| yr 15/16    | 326,000      |
| yr 16/17    | 266,000      |
| yr 17/18    | 65,000       |
| Total       | 1,109,570    |
| Already     |              |
| Approved    | 625,679      |
| Balance     | 483,891      |

Of the £483,891 balance possible external funding of £ 55,000 has been identified. This leaves a funding gap of £428,891.

#### Revenue Schemes

| <u>Year</u><br>yr 13/14<br>yr 14/15<br>yr 15/16<br>yr 16/17 | HBBC<br>Revenue<br>Scheme<br>272<br>2,142<br>2,000<br>8,000 | \$106<br>2,228<br>858<br>0 | Total<br>Cost<br>2,500<br>3,000<br>2,000<br>8,000 |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|
| yr 17/18  | 2,000   | 0                          | 2,000   |
| Total   | 14,414  | 3,086                      | 17,500  |

Where section 106 monies has not been received or where funding has not been identified the schemes will only commence when s106 funding has been received or where grant funding has been confirmed by the awarding body.

#### 4.2 Borrowing Costs

The table 2 below summaries the financing costs that will arise from borrowing for the approved. The gross borrowing will be £35,424. By financial year 2018/19 the additional cost will be £4,906. These costs have already been allowed for within the Treasury Management and Prudential Borrowing report approved at Council on  $20^{th}$  February.

Table 2

| HBBC<br>Financing<br>Requirement | Costs  |       | Annual Additional costs |  |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|                                  |        | MRP   | Interest                |  |
|                                  |        |       |                         |  |
| yr 13/14                         |        | 0     | 0                       |  |
| yr 14/15                         | 22,444 | 0     | 432                     |  |
| yr 15/16                         | 0      | 2,244 | 432                     |  |
| yr 16/17                         | 0      | 0     | 0                       |  |
| yr 17/18                         | 12,980 | 0     | 500                     |  |
| Yr 18/19                         | 0      | 1,298 | 0                       |  |
|                                  | 35,424 | 3,542 | 1,364                   |  |

# 4.3 Revenue Schemes

The estimated net cost of revenue schemes per table 1 above is £14,414. If the schemes are to be agreed, approval will be required for a supplementary cost budget of £17,500 and income budget of £3,086.

# 4.5 <u>Unidentified Funding</u>

If the unidentified funding was financed by HBBC the estimated additional impact by 2018/19 will be £59,401. Table 3 shows the annual impact on the General Fund revenue budget.

Table 3

| Unidentified funding | Costs   | Annual Additional |          |
|----------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|
|                      |         | MRP               | Interest |
| Year 13/14           | 0       | 0                 | 0        |
| Year 14/15           | 0       | 0                 | 0        |
| Year 15/16           | 199,441 | 0                 | 7,678    |
| Year 16/17           | 219,450 | 19,944            | 8,449    |
| Year 17/18           | 10,000  | 21,945            | 385      |
| Year 18/19           |         | 1,000             | 0        |
|                      | 428,891 | 42,889            | 16,512   |

#### 4.6 Annual Revenue Maintenance Costs

The estimated additional maintenance cost is estimated to be £4,208. This relates to the new play areas in the North of Hinckley and Westfields which are due to be installed in 2015/16. All other costs will be met either from existing budgets or additional S106 income.

# 5. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS (MR)</u>

None raised directly by this report

### 6. CORPORATE PLAN IMPLICATIONS

This plan meets the Council's strategic aim of creating a vibrant place to work and live, and specifically seeks to protect and improve our parks and open spaces. Improving parks and open spaces was identified as resident's second highest priority in the autumn 2013 citizens panel survey.

#### 7. CONSULTATION

Consultation used to develop the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (upon which this plan is developed) included a postal household questionnaire distributed to 5000 households (474 returned), workshops for key stake holders, sports clubs and parish councils, an internet survey for children and young people, a drop in session on Hinckley market, and one to one consultations with relevant Council officers.

The delivery plan has been available for public consultation for a 3 weeks in January / February. All the responses received were positive / supportive with one exception. The only comment received was with regard to the vision and expressed concern that the vision would have a negative impact on the respondent's farm road down at the Outwoods. In Officers opinion none of the proposals would impact on any access rights at any site and accordingly the delivery plan has not been amended.

The Hinckley Area committee was consulted on 22 January 2014. Members requested measures to reduce dog fouling near the play areas at Burbage Common andQueens Park. These concerns will be addresses within year one of the plan when improvements are identified for both of these sites. In addition dog control orders on HBBC owned green space will be investigated during 2014/15 by Street Scene Services.

#### 8. RISK IMPLICATIONS

It is the Council's policy to proactively identify and manage significant risks which may prevent delivery of business objectives.

It is not possible to eliminate or manage all risks all of the time and risks will remain which have not been identified. However, it is the officer's opinion based on the information available, that the significant risks associated with this decision / project have been identified, assessed and that controls are in place to manage them effectively.

The following significant risks associated with this report / decisions were identified from this assessment:

| Management of significant (Net Red) Risks |  |             |  |
|---|--|-------------|--|
| Risk Description                          | Mitigating actions                               | Owner       |  |
| Funding shortfall                         | Maximise utilisation of S106                     | Ian Pinfold |  |
|   | funds fro projects                               |             |  |
|   | Annual review of projects                        |             |  |
|   | and priorities                                   |             |  |
|   | Maximise use of external                         |             |  |
|   | grants   |             |  |
|   | Develop partnerships to                          |             |  |
|   | increase funding                                 |             |  |
| Land availability / in partnership        | opportunities.                                   | Ion Dinfold |  |
| Land availability / in partnership        | Seek partnerships with land owners to facilitate | Ian Pinfold |  |
|   | improvements where                               |             |  |
|   | necessary.                                       |             |  |
| Improvements not made to green            | Hold workshop to promote                         | Paul        |  |
| spaces in parished areas of the           | delivery plan approach                           | Scragg      |  |
| Borough / land not in HBBC ownership      | Promote use of Parish and                        |             |  |
|   | Community Initiative fund for                    |             |  |
|   | green space improvements                         |             |  |
| Failure to change maintenance             | Staff training on biodiversity                   |             |  |
| practices                                 | management where                                 | Cunnington  |  |
|   | necessary. Purchase new                          |             |  |
|   | equipment where necessary.                       |             |  |

# 9. KNOWING YOUR COMMUNITY – EQUALITY AND RURAL IMPLICATIONS

Prior to each improvement project the local community will be engaged with and consulted with. Appendix 7 details how this will be approached dependent on the nature of the site and the value of the project.

# 10. **CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS**

By submitting this report, the report author has taken the following into account:

- Community Safety implications
- Environmental implications
- ICT implications
- Asset Management implications
- Human Resources implications
- Planning Implications

- Voluntary Sector

Background papers: Green Space Delivery Plan 2014-2018

Contact Officer: Caroline Roffey, x5782 Executive Member: Councillor Bill Crooks