SITE ALLOCATIONS DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT
CONSULTATION DRAFT PREFERRED OPTIONS

APPENDIX 6

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

AS Number *(Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment Reference)*	Expression of Interest Number	Site Address	Settlement	Alternative Options Justification
1	1	Land adjacent 78 Main Street	Bagworth	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are brownfield sites in Bagworth. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land.
2	182	Land at Bagworth	Bagworth	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are brownfield sites inside Bagworth. The site is also unsuitable for local economic development because employment use will be met by sustainably extending the existing employment site. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land. In this instance the site is a rolling rural landscape and is within the Forest Hills Landscape Character Area of the National Forest.
3	25	Land to rear and side of Laurel House 2 Main Street	Bagworth	After careful consideration the site proposed for housing has been deemed unsuitable because there is a more suitable brownfield site inside the settlement boundary for Bagworth. The sites current use is light industry and not derelict.

5	323	Land at Bagworth	Bagworth	After careful consideration this medium size greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because preference has been given to brownfield sites inside Bagworth. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land.
6	322	Land at Bagworth	Bagworth	After careful consideration this greenfield site within the National Forest has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there is a better suited brownfield site inside Bagworth. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land. Accessibility remains problematic because it may prove unsuitable for residential development and ownership constraints may impinge on the use of a private track that was not submitted in the plans when this site was assessed during the SHLAA process.
8	291	Land off Station Road	Bagworth	After careful consideration the greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because preference has been given to brownfield sites within Bagworth. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land. The SHLAA assessed the sites accessibility remains problematic because the only possible access is available via AS009. Conflict may arise between providing access for both employment and residential development.

16	334	Land at Station Road	Bagworth	This site that falls within the National Forest and Forest Hills Landscape Character Area has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited brownfield sites within Bagworth. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land.
	602	Land at Station Road	Bagworth	Site submission incomplete no map provided.
7	324	Land at Bagworth	Bagworth	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited brownfield sites inside Bagworth. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land. Accessibility remains problematic because it may prove unsuitable for residential development and ownership constraints may impinge on the use of a private track that was not submitted in the plans when this site was assessed during the SHLAA process.
8	263	Station Road	Bagworth	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for development because there are suitable brownfield sites within Bagworth. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land.

39	10	Land at 75a Newbold Road	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because the SHLAA states that there are constraints regarding access, character and development pattern.
39	375	75a Newbold Road	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are more suitable sites with unproblematic access. In addition the site covers an area that contains sensitive countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. This is supported by the SHLAA that suggests the surrounding character and pattern of development will act as a constraint, especially when better suited sites naturally extend and preserve the existing settlement pattern.
40	275	Land at the rear of Barlestone Garage, Newbold Road	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites where access is not problematic. In addition the site covers an area that contains sensitive countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. There are better suited sites that naturally extend and preserve the existing settlement pattern.
42	151	Land off Spinney Dr, Barlestone	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for development because it is of ecological interest. The Directions for Growth document (2007) states that there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites.
42	446	Sites off Bosworth Road & Spinney Drive	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites that naturally extend and preserve the existing settlement pattern. In addition the site contains an ecological site and covers sensitive countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. The Directions for Growth document 2007 states that there is a need to avoid

				development in or adjacent to these sites.
42	579	Land off Spinney Drive	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites that naturally extend and preserve the existing settlement pattern. An ecological site that covers sensitive countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
43	329	Land off Newbold Road	Barlestone	Site has been discounted due to its position which is neither within nor adjacent to the settlement boundary. In addition potential constraints also exist due to the ecological value of site.
44	370	Barlestone allotments	Barlestone	This site has not been taken forward for allocation for built development. The allotments are well utilised and the site has been taken forward for allotment allocation.
45	527	Land at Garden Farm	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites that do not impinge upon designated open space. The site falls within the Forest Hills Landscape Character Area that contains sensitive countryside. Better suited sites have been chosen that naturally extend and preserve the existing settlement pattern.
46	3	Land to South of Bagworth Road	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites that do not impinge upon designated open space. Site is of ecological interest and for Barlestone the Directions for Growth Document 2007 states that there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites.

53	14	Land off Bosworth Road	Barlestone	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for development because better suited sites naturally extend and preserve the existing settlement pattern. Environmental constraints are likely to restrict development. In addition the site covers an area that encroaches upon sensitive open countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. The SHLAA states that mitigation of potential flooding from stream, retention of ecology site and agricultural land is likely to reduce residential capacity of the site.
53	267	Land off Bosworth Road	Barlestone	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it would encroach to an unacceptable level upon sensitive open countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. Part of an ecologically sensitive site encroaches onto this site and the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites. It is also less related to the local centre than the preferred options. The SHLAA states that mitigation of potential flooding from stream, retention of ecology site and agricultural land is likely to reduce residential capacity of the site.
615	554	Land at Church Farm	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites that do not impinge upon an area of special character and open space.
623	581	Rear of 80 Newbold Road	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites with unproblematic access. In addition the site covers an area that contains sensitive countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. There are better suited sites that naturally extend and preserve the existing

				settlement pattern.
676	396	Field 0303, Bagworth Road, Barlestone	Barlestone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for development because there are more suitable sites that naturally extend and preserve the existing settlement pattern. Site area covers sensitive countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
501	189	Land at Sycamore Farm, Barton in the Beans	Barton in the Beans	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice may be applicable.
502	214	Land of Main Street, Barton in the Beans	Barton in the Beans	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice may be applicable.
503	21	Land near to 9 West End	Barton in the Beans	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice may be applicable.

505	170	Land off Nailstone Road, Barton in the Beans	Barton in the Beans	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice may be applicable.
506	171	Land at end of Green Lane, Barton in the Beans	Barton in the Beans	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice may be applicable.
54	218	Land West of Barwell	Barwell	Site has been discounted given the sites position which is neither within nor adjacent to the settlement boundary. The land is significantly disjointed from the rest of the settlement pattern.
56	18	Hinckley Road Allotments	Barwell	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate as allotments.
56	140	Land at Hinckley Road	Barwell	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
56	141	Land at Hinckley Road	Barwell	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
56	169	Land off Hinckley Road, Barwell	Barwell	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.

56	176	Development of Allotment land off Hinckley Rd	Barwell	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
56	378	Land North of Hinckley Road	Barwell	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision.
57	364	Land to west of Hereford Close - LT 386028	Barwell	Sites of open space within the borough have not been identified as surplus to requirement thus providing the justification to retain existing areas of open space. Retain the current use and allocate open space.
58	154	Land to the west of Barwell	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
58	159	48 acres between A447 and Barwell Fairacre Estate	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
58	243	Powers Estate - land to the west of Barwell	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
58	273	Land to the west of Kirkby Road	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.

58	442	Land west of Barwell	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document. However the area is currently used as allotments and these will be retained and allocated (BARW22).
59	253	Land at Stapleton Lane	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
61	7	Land off St. Mary's Court	Barwell	This greenfield site is outside the settlement boundary and has been considered unsuitable for residential development because better suited brownfield (infill / back-land) sites can be found inside Barwell. The site is also outside the SUE boundary that designates land to be allocated for development.
61	20	Land at 19 Saint Mary's Court Barwell	Barwell	This greenfield site is outside the settlement boundary and has been considered unsuitable for residential development because better suited brownfield and infill sites can be found inside Barwell. The site is also outside the SUE boundary that designates land to be allocated for development.
61	244	Land off St Mary's Court	Barwell	The greenfield site has been considered unsuitable for development because more suitable brownfield sites can be found within Barwell. The site is also outside the SUE boundary that designates land to be allocated for development.

62	26	Land off St Mary's Court	Barwell	This greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because there are better suited brownfield and infill sites within Barwell. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The site is also outside the SUE boundary designated to allocate land for sustainable growth.
62	160	Site to the South of St Mary's Court, Barwell	Barwell	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited brownfield and infill sites within Barwell. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The site is also outside the SUE boundary designated to allocate land for sustainable growth.
62	511	Land off St Mary's Court	Barwell	The site has been judged unsuitable because better suited brownfield sites for mixed use fall within Barwell. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The site is also outside the SUE boundary designated to allocate land for sustainable housing.
63	366	Barwell Common Recreational Ground - LT 379085	Barwell	This open space is a neighbourhood park serving Barwell and should be retained. The site is not to be put forward for built development and is to be allocated for open space (BARW14).

64	17	Land east of the Common, south of Dawsons Lane,	Barwell	Site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside which forms the setting of Barwell as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
64	34	Land East of the Common/south of Dawsons Lane	Barwell	Site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside which forms the setting of Barwell as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
65	410	White Oaks, Leicester Road, Barwell, LE9 8BD	Barwell	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside which forms the setting of Barwell as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
66	2	Land south of Shilton Road	Barwell	The site is unsuitable for residential development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside which forms the setting of Barwell as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. Achievability may be reduced by the steep gradient which was identified as a topographical constraint during the SHLAA process.
67	237	Land between Dawsons Lane and Leicester Road / Carrs Hill	Barwell	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.

72	365	Galloway Close - LT 86743	Barwell	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because brownfield sites lie within Barwell. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings.
84	5	The Common	Barwell	Site excluded from consideration because already under construction.
91	283	18 and Factory complex at Kingsfield Road + land	Barwell	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development other than employment. Chapter 3 of the Site Allocations DPD proposes this site should be retained for 100% employment use.
102	240	Land between Leicester Road and Elmsthorpe Lane	Barwell	Any development would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside which forms the setting of Barwell as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
600	537	Land at Inglenook Farm	Barwell	The site is considered to be unsuitable for housing development because any development would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside which forms the setting of Barwell as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
608	545	Land at A47	Barwell	The site is considered to be unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside which forms the setting of Barwell as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.

612	550	Land adjacent to Barwell House	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
128,91	91	Arthur Street	Barwell	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development other than employment. Chapter 3 of the Site Allocations DPD proposes this site should be retained for 100% employment use.
58, 588	19	Land north of Barwell	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy AS58 falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document. Related AS588 is not suitable because it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary or within the proposed sustainable urban extension.
70, 71	363	Boston Way / Maryland Close	Barwell	This open space is currently under utilised and should be allocated as an open space to improve the quality of provision, retain as open space. Play provision for children and young people at Boston way should be retained since the Green Space Strategy Audit recommends improving the quality of existing provision. The site has not been carried forward for built development and is to be allocated as open space.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	605	Factory south of Arthur street	Barwell	This category B employment site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it should be retained for employment use as stated in the Employment Land and Premises Study 2004. The Submission Draft Core Strategy indicates under policy 3 that the Council protects allocated employment sites from redevelopment for other uses to ensure a sustainable mix of development and ensure a range of employment

				opportunities within Barwell.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	619	Land at Brookhill Farm, Ashby Road	Barwell	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document part of this site falls within the indicative area for the Barwell SUE. Nevertheless land at Brookhill Farm is outside the SUE boundary that designates land to be allocated for development. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
102	48	Land at Elmsthorpe Lane	Barwell/Earl Shilton	The site has been considered unsuitable for housing because any development would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive countryside. This area of land is key in forming the area of separation between Barwell and Earl Shilton.
606 & 102	443	Land at Elmesthorpe Lane	Barwell/Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive countryside that acts as a key area of separation between Earl Shilton and Barwell as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
190	328	Land at Fenny Drayton	Botcheston	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
190	449	Land at the Kirby Grange retirement complex	Botcheston	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
191	448	Land at the Kirby Grange retirement complex	Botcheston	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development; therefore, no development land has been allocated.

192	304	Land at the Kirby Grange retirement complex	Botcheston	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
193	380	Polebrook House, Markfield Lane, Botcheston	Botcheston	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
194	23	Land at Main Street Botcheston	Botcheston	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
195	268	Land at Main Street Botcheston	Botcheston	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

196	333	Land off Main Street	Botcheston	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
105	502	Burbage	Burbage	This site is a neighbourhood park which provides recreational facilities and should be retained. Retain as open space (BUR01/08).
108	228	Land r/o Applebee House, Applebee Road	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because adequate access provision is currently unavailable. Access is possible via the demolition of a dwelling on Hillside Road or Applebee Road, however, a relevant dwelling not submitted when the site was assessed through the SHLAA.
109	230	Land at Sketchley Manor Farm, Sketchley Old Village	Burbage	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development on the basis that there is a better suited joined up 'strategic' site and available spaces for infill development that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.
110	92	Area adjoining Sketchley Grange & Meadows Industrial Estate	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for developing an employment base because it is ecologically significant. Planned development on land west of Burbage is likely to have an adverse effect on local ecology as stated in the Directions for Growth document 2007 and specifically states that any development in or adjacent to these sites need to be avoided.

111	204	Land at Sketchley House, Burbage	Burbage	This site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because accessibility has remained problematic and needs re-assessment when the SHLAA is reviewed. The Directions for Growth document 2007 states that for land west of Burbage, development in or adjacent to local ecological sites need to be avoided and this is the case when combined with AS110.
111	303	Land to the South of Burbage, centred at Sketchley House	Burbage	This site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because accessibility is problematic and needs to be re-assessed when the SHLAA is reviewed. Nevertheless, the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that for land west of Burbage development in or adjacent to local ecological sites need to be avoided and this is the case when combined with AS110.
113	8	Land at Stretton Croft	Burbage	Site has been discounted as it is neither within nor adjacent to the existing settlement boundary and as such is not suitable for development.
113	232	Land at Stretton Croft Burbage (J1 M69)	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for mixed use development because it is neither within nor adjacent to the existing settlement boundary.
114	415	Stretton Pines, Wolvey Road	Burbage	This greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development on the basis that there is a better suited joined up 'strategic' site and infill / back-land developments that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.
115	185	Land at Stretton Poplars, Wolvey Road, Burbage	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there is a more suitable 'strategic' site that complies with Policy 4 in the Submission Draft Core Strategy.

117	339	Land at Three Pots Farm, Rugby Road	Burbage	This greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development on the basis that there is a better suited joined up 'strategic' site, including spaces for infill / back-land development that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.
119	229	Land attached to Bulfurlong Lane / M69 slip road	Burbage	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development on the basis that there is a better suited joined up 'strategic' site and available spaces for infill / back-land development that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.
119	500	Land at Three Pots Farm, Rugby Road	Burbage	This greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development on the basis that there is a better suited joined up 'strategic' site and infill / back-land developments that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.
120	272	Land at Bullfurlong Lane	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because there is a more suitable joined up 'strategic' site, including strategically positioned employment sites along the west of Burbage. Accessibility issues remain because there has been no clear clarification on the suitability of Robinson Way for additional traffic.
120	286	Land at Bullfurlong Lane	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because there is a more suitable joined up 'strategic' mixed use site that will provide a strategically based employment site along the western side. Accessibility issues remain problematic since there is currently no clarification on the suitability of Robinson Way for additional traffic.
121	206	Land in Bullfurlong Lane, Burbage	Burbage	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because adequate access provision is unlikely. In comparison there is a better suited joined up

				'strategic' site, including spaces for infill development that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.
121	342	Land at Bullfurlong Lane	Burbage	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because adequate access provision is unlikely. In comparison there is a better suited joined up 'strategic' site, including spaces for infill / back-land development that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.
122	4	Land to south of Burbage particularly land adjacent to 'Bonita' in Bullfurlong Lane	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because the SHLAA states that the site is non-developable and adequate access unlikely.
122	32	Land adjacent to "Bonita", Bullfurlong Lane	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because the SHLAA states that the site is non-developable and adequate access unlikely.
123	6	Land off Britannia Road	Burbage	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because a more suitable 'strategic' site complies with Policy 4 in the Submission Draft Core Strategy.
123	276	Land to the rear of 24A Britannia Road	Burbage	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because a more suitable joined up 'strategic' site complies with Policy 4 in the Submission Draft Core Strategy.
123	327	Land off Britannia Road Burbage	Burbage	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there is a more suitable joined up 'strategic' site and infill / back-land developments that incorporate brownfield land within the settlement boundary.

123	585	Land at Britannia Road	Burbage	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because a more suitable 'strategic' site complies with Policy 4 in the Submission Draft Core Strategy.
125	227	Land off Workhouse Lane	Burbage	This greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because adequate access provision is unlikely.
126	393	White House Farm, Workhouse Lane, Burbage	Burbage	The site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary.
127	288	Allotment land at Workhouse Lane	Burbage	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. In addition, consideration for alternative land use is also prevented as a result of poor access. Allocate for allotments.
128	73	Land to rear of Hanover Hotel, A5 Watling Street	Burbage	The site is not within nor adjacent to the settlement boundary. Site not only contains an ecological site, but is situated in a strategically significant landscape area of high sensitivity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
128	143	New settlement proposal south of Burbage	Burbage	Site has been discounted and is deemed unsuitable as it is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary. Adequate access provision is also unlikely. Altogether the site is significantly segregated from the rest of the Burbage area by the M69 roundabout and motorway that acts as a physical barrier to the settlement pattern.
129	33	Land to east of junction of A5/M69, Start Farm	Burbage	The site is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary where adequate access is unlikely. In addition the site is significantly segregated from the rest of the settlement pattern by the M69 roundabout and motorway that acts as a physical barrier.

129	123	Start Farm	Burbage	Site has been discounted and is deemed unsuitable as it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary and adequate access is unlikely. In addition the site is significantly segregated from the rest of the Burbage area by the M69 roundabout and motorway and acts as a physical barrier to the existing settlement pattern.
130	31	Land off Lutterworth Rd	Burbage	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are better suited joined up 'strategic' and infill sites within the settlement boundary.
130	217	Land east of Lutterworth Road, Burbage	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there is a more suitable joined up 'strategic' site and infill brownfield sites elsewhere in Burbage.
131	184	Land at end of Lychgate Lane, Burbage	Burbage	After careful consideration this greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because a more suitable joined up 'strategic' site and infill development can meet the requirements set in the Submission Draft Core Strategy.
133	379	Land South of Lichgate Lane, Burbage	Burbage	The site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary.
134	81	Brookside, Burbage	Burbage	Site has been discounted as development of large parcel of greenfield land would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and the open landscape which is of key importance as defined by the Landscape Character Assessment.
134	452	Brookside, Burbage & Land East of Burbage	Burbage	Site has been discounted because development of large parcel of land would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and the open landscape which is of key importance as defined by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment.

135	451	Brookside, Burbage & Land East of Burbage	Burbage	Site has been discounted as development of large parcel of land would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and the open landscape which is of key importance as defined by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment.
136	450	Brookside, Burbage & Land East of Burbage	Burbage	Site has been discounted as development of large parcel of land would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and the open landscape which is of key importance as defined by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment.
137	381	91 Sapcote Road, Burbage	Burbage	Site has been discounted because development of large parcel of land would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and the open landscape which is of key importance as defined by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment. There is a suitable joined up 'strategic' site focused primarily to the north of Burbage, adjacent to the Hinckley settlement boundary to support the Hinckley sub-regional centre as specified in the Submission Draft Core Strategy.
138	340	Land off Sapcote Road, Burbage	Burbage	Site has been discounted as development of large parcel of land would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and the open landscape which is of key importance as defined by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment.
139	221	Land to rear of 47 & 49 Sapcote Road, Burbage	Burbage	This small scale site has been deemed unsuitable for large 'strategic' housing development because it is not focused to the north of Burbage i.e. not adjacent to the Hinckley settlement boundary, allowing for joined up sites, chosen to support the sub-regional centre. However, infill development will be considered on the sites individual merits through the usual development control process.

140	376	Land off Sapcote Road	Burbage	Site has been discounted from consideration because development of greenfield land in this location would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and open landscape. Identified as an area of key importance by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment.
141	27	Land between The Coppice & Woodgate Rd	Burbage	This site has been considered unsuitable for residential development which would be detrimental to the relationship between the distinctive settlement pattern and open landscape as defined by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment. Soil and land constraints pose a limitation.
141	177	Land between The Coppice & Woodgate Rd, Burbage	Burbage	This greenfield site (outside the settlement boundary) has been considered unsuitable for development because it would be detrimental to the relationship between the distinctive settlement pattern and open landscape as defined by the Councils Landscape Character Assessment. Soil & land constraints pose a further limitation, especially when there are more suitable brownfield sites elsewhere in Burbage.
143	358	Colts Close recreation Ground Burbage	Burbage	The allocated open space accessed from Crownhill Road will be extended so that it includes the area to the west and fronts Colts Close (BUR09).
143	498	Colts Close recreation Ground Burbage	Burbage	The allocated open space can be accessed from Crownhill Road that will be extended to include the area to the west which fronts Colts Close.
146	28	Boyslade Service Station, Boyslade Rd	Burbage	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because the site was submitted for retail. However, infill sites will be considered on their merits through the development control process.
149	30	Land to the rear of Brookside	Burbage	Green infrastructure study suggests that this site provides an important linkage.

150	24	Brookside Allotments	Burbage	Site is not suitable because no areas of open space have been identified as surplus to requirements therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision.
150	65	Land to the rear of Brookside	Burbage	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for green corridor (see BUR24) .
150	162	Land at Southfield Road, Burbage	Burbage	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. The site is to be allocated for allotments (BUR24).
150	163	Plots on Blackway Meadows, Burbage	Burbage	Site is not suitable because no areas of open space have been identified as surplus to requirements, therefore, it is necessary to protect existing provision.
150	202	Blackways Meadow Allotment at Southfield Road/Brookside	Burbage	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
150	205	Allotments, Brookside, Hinckley	Burbage	The current site use is allotments and there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
150	233	Land off Brookside	Burbage	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
150	499	Plots on Blackway Meadows, Burbage	Burbage	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
628	465	Land to west of 12 Flamville Road	Burbage	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.

129,111	85	Start Farm & Site of Sketchley House, Watling St	Burbage	Site has been discounted and is deemed unsuitable for development as it is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary. In addition adequate access provision is unlikely and the site is significantly segregated from the rest of the Burbage area by the M69 roundabout and motorway, which acts as a physical barrier to the settlement pattern.
116, 118	77	Land off Rugby Rd	Burbage	AS116 has been deemed unsuitable for development because it is of ecological interest and the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to these sites needs to be avoided. Mature trees and woodland on-site considered suitable as a designated local wildlife site. AS118 has been considered as an alternative option for a Gypsy and Traveller Site (BUR34ALT).
116, 118	144	Land along Rugby Rd	Burbage	AS116 has been deemed unsuitable for development because it is of ecological interest and the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to these sites needs to be avoided. AS118 has been deemed unsuitable for any development because access provision is unlikely.
134, 135, 136	11	Land at manor Farm	Burbage	Site has been discounted because the development of large parcels of greenfield land would be detrimental to the relationship between the village and the open landscape which is of key importance as defined by the Landscape Character Assessment.
No EOI	384	Burbage Common	Burbage	The site has not been put forward for development.
132	29	Land East of Burbage	Burbage	This greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because accessibility is problematic. In addition there are better suited joined up 'strategic' and infill sites within the settlement boundary.

174	348	Land at Bull in the Oak Farm	Cadeby	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
175	346	Land at Bull in the Oak Farm	Cadeby	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
176	347	Land at Bull in the Oak Farm	Cadeby	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
177	391	Adjacent Bank Farm, Congerstone Lane, Carlton	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

178	35	Land in Carlton	Carlton	The site is to be put forward for open space (see appendix 2 CAR06) and not built development.
178	341	Land at Main Street	Carlton	The site is to be put forward for open space (see appendix 2 CAR06) and not built development.
178	510	Land at Main Street, off Congerstone Lane	Carlton	Allocated for open space.
179	509	Land off Congerstone Lane	Carlton	The site is not suitable because no areas of open space have been identified as surplus to requirements and because of their recreational value will be safeguarded from development.
182	190	Land at Manor House Farm, Carlton	Carlton	Allocated for open space.
182	297	Manor House Farm	Carlton	Allocated for open space.
182	580	Land off Main Street	Carlton	Allocated for open space.
183	279	Land to the rear of 69 Main Street	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
184	172	Land at Eastfields, Nailstone Road, Carlton	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

186	225	Settlement boundary change at Fernlea, Barton Road	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
187	152	Land to the east of Bosworth Rd, Carlton	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
187	296	Field A	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
187	517	Northfield	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

188	387	Land off Nailstone Lane, Carlton	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
189	179	Land between Barton and Barlestone/Nailstone roads	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
614	553	Land off Barton Road	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	606	Land at 62 Main Street	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

Not assessed through SHLAA	513	Field B	Carlton	This site was put forward for potential open space in Carlton.
Not assessed through SHLAA	514	Field C	Carlton	This site was put forward for potential open space in Carlton.
Not assessed through SHLAA	515	Field D	Carlton	This site was put forward for potential open space in Carlton.
Not assessed through SHLAA	516	Field E	Carlton	This site was put forward for potential open space in Carlton.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	598	Land to the rear of 60 Main Street	Carlton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
507	371	Main Street - LT 367428	Congerstone	The sites eastern side forms the only identified open space within Congerstone. This site also serves the needs of Bilstone and Barton in the Beans. The western side consists of residential properties. Development would adversely affect the character of the conservation area and the Landscape Character Assessment states that this area is highly sensitive. Availability is unclear because submitted site contains occupied dwellings. This site has therefore been rejected.
508	432	Land at Fox Covert Farm, off Main Street	Congerstone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because sensitive regard must be had to the adjacent conservation area whereby mitigation measures may impact upon achievability.
508	433b	Land at Barton Road	Congerstone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because sensitive regard must be had to the adjacent conservation area whereby mitigation measures may impact upon achievability.

511	433a	Land between Barton Road and Chapel Lane	Congerstone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because sensitive regard must be had to the adjacent conservation area whereby mitigation measures may impact upon achievability.
546	314	Land at Shenton Lane	Dadlington	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
200	203	Land opposite Caterpillar tractors in Desford	Desford	Site is unsuitable because although adjacent to As201 & As202, which abut the settlement boundary, the area that As200 adjoins covers grade 2 agricultural land. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7, the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development. The remainder of the site would be too far from the settlement boundary for consideration.
201	40	Land at Peckleton Lane	Desford	The site has been considered unsuitable for development because grade 2 agricultural land covers two thirds of the site that cannot be developed therefore constraints effects residential capacity. This decision accords with Planning Policy Statement 7 where every effort will be taken to protect quality agricultural land from development. The Directions for Growth document supports this decision since the classification constrains development in the settlements southern section. The document also states that land to the south of Desford is currently designated as an Area of Separation and development in this area should be avoided.

201	213	Land at Peckleton Lane, Desford	Desford	The site is considered unsuitable for residential development because grade 2 agricultural land covers at least two thirds of the site which cannot be developed and this effects residential capacity. The decision accords with Planning Policy Statement 7 where the council aims to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development. The Directions for Growth document 2007 supports this decision since the classification constrains development in the settlements southern section. The document also states that land to the south of Desford is currently designated as an "Area of Separation" where development should be avoided.
201	588	Land off Peckleton Lane	Desford	The site has been considered unsuitable because a better suited site can meet the requirements set out for developing a minimum 110 new homes. Grade 2 agricultural land covers two thirds of the site and cannot be developed therefore constraints effects residential capacity. This decision accords with Planning Policy Statement 7 to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development. The Directions for Growth document supports this decision since the classification constrains development in the settlements southern section. The document also states that land to the south of Desford is currently designated as an Area of Separation where development in this area should be avoided.
202	306	Land at Desford	Desford	The greenfield site has been considered unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited sites. In this instance, suitability is dependent on adequate access provision whilst grade 2 agricultural land covers the southern section. The SHLAA suggests that such constraints will have a direct impact upon residential

				capacity, economic viability and achievability.
202	307	Land at Desford	Desford	The greenfield site has been considered unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited sites. In this instance, suitability is dependent on adequate access provision whilst grade 2 agricultural land covers the southern section. The SHLAA suggests that such constraints will have a direct impact upon residential capacity, economic viability and achievability.
203	38	Allocation of land to the east of Leicester Road	Desford	The site is unsuitable for residential development because suitability is dependent on adequate access provision. From a landscape perspective, the site contains long views eastwards to open countryside, falling within the Desford Vales Landscape Character area.
203	187	Land at Desford	Desford	The site is unsuitable for mixed use development because suitability is dependent on adequate access provision. From a landscape perspective, the site contains long views eastwards to open countryside, falling within the Desford Vales Landscape Character area.
203	188	Land at Desford	Desford	The site is unsuitable for development because suitability is dependent on adequate access provision. From a landscape perspective, the site contains long views eastwards to open countryside, falling within the Desford Vales Landscape Character area.

203	589	Land off Barns Way	Desford	The site is unsuitable for mixed use development because suitability is dependent on adequate access provision. From a landscape perspective, the site contains long views eastwards to open countryside, falling within the Desford Vales Landscape Character area.
205	401	Trussels Close, off Little Lane, Desford	Desford	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because adequate access provision is unlikely and development may adversely affect the setting of the adjacent conservation area. Due to limited residential capacity overcoming these constraints may result in development being economically unviable and unachievable.
206	402	The Old Sewage Works, Lindridge Lane, Desford	Desford	Site has been discounted for the allocation of development primarily due to the fact that the site is significantly disjointed from the rest of the settlement.
208	400	Gables Farm Riding School, Newbold Road	Desford	Site has been discounted for the allocation of development primarily due to the fact that the site is significantly disjointed from the rest of the settlement.
210	406	Field 1, Ashfield Farm, Kirkby Road	Desford	This site has been discounted from consideration due to its location in entirely grade 2 agricultural land. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7, the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development.
211	407	Field 2, Ashfield Farm, Kirkby Road	Desford	This site has been discounted from consideration due to its location in entirely grade 2 agricultural land. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7 the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development. In addition, this site was also deemed unsuitable due to its segregation from settlement boundary.

449	37	Land adjacent to Welton Lodge, Hunts Lane	Desford	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7, the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development.
465	398	Land off Kirkby Road, Desford	Desford	The site is unsuitable for residential development because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site and adjacent use incorporates agriculture. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7, the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development.
466	408	Field 3, Ashfield Farm, Kirkby Road	Desford	Site excluded from consideration because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7 the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development.
592	531	Land at Hunts Lane Farm	Desford	The site is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary. Grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7 the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development.
610	547	Land off Little Lane	Desford	The site is not suitable for development because it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary and potential access difficulties have been highlighted off Little Lane.
626	458	Merrylees Industrial Estate	Desford	The site has been excluded from consideration because a mineral extraction site impacts on the entire site and a notifiable installation covers the eastern half.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	613	Land at Anson House, Desford	Desford	This site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because larger sites will meet the housing requirements set out under policy 8 in the Submission Draft Core Strategy. However, small sites like this one will be considered based on their merits through the

				development control process.
214	51	Land off Heath Lane	Earl Shilton	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
214	332	Plot 6 off Heath Lane	Earl Shilton	The current site use is allotments there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
215	405	Plot 7 Allotment, off Heath Lane	Earl Shilton	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
216	319	Land off Heath Lane	Earl Shilton	The current site use is allotments there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
217	43	Land North of Heath Lane & near Westfield Farm, Keats Lane	Earl Shilton	The greenfield site is not suitable for development because there are more suitable brownfield sites inside Earl Shilton. Unfortunately the site does not fall within the designated SUE boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to ecological sites needs to be avoided, which is the case in point here. In addition the site comprises a section of land north of Earl Shilton, located inside the Stoke Golding Landscape Character Area. Any development would have a significant impact on the setting as much of the land is located in a prominent elevated landscape.

217	49	Land at Westfield Farm	Earl Shilton	The greenfield site is not suitable for housing development because there are more suitable brownfield sites inside Earl Shilton. The site does not fall within the designated SUE boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to ecological sites needs to be avoided, which is the case in point here. In addition the site comprises a section of land north of Earl Shilton, located inside the Stoke Golding Landscape Character Area. Any development would have a significant impact on the setting as much of the land is located in a prominent elevated landscape.
217	145	Land to the south of Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	The greenfield site is not suitable for housing development because there are more suitable brownfield sites inside Earl Shilton. Unfortunately the site does not fall within the designated SUE boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to ecological sites needs to be avoided, which is the case in point here. In addition the site comprises a section of land north of Earl Shilton, located inside the Stoke Golding Landscape Character Area. Any development would have a significant impact on the setting as much of the land is located in a prominent elevated landscape.

217	149	Land at Westfield Farm, Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	The greenfield site is not suitable for residential development because there are more suitable brownfield sites inside Earl Shilton. Unfortunately the site does not fall within the designated SUE boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to ecological sites needs to be avoided, which is the case in point here. In addition the site comprises a section of land north of Earl Shilton, located inside the Stoke Golding Landscape Character Area. Any development would have a significant impact on the setting as much of the land is located in a prominent elevated landscape.
217	357	Land at Westfield Farm, Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	The greenfield site is not suitable for residential development because there are more suitable brownfield sites inside Earl Shilton. Unfortunately the site does not fall within the designated SUE boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to ecological sites needs to be avoided, which is the case in point here. In addition the site comprises a section of land north of Earl Shilton, located inside the Stoke Golding Landscape Character Area. Any development would have a significant impact on the setting as much of the land is located in a prominent elevated landscape.

223	344	Lot 80, High Tor East, Keats Lane	Earl Shilton	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
224	54	Land at Hill Top Farm	Earl Shilton	When compared with those sites that have been classified as brownfield inside Earl Shilton this site has been deemed unsuitable for development. The site incorporates greenfield land as rolling countryside located inside the Stoke Golding Landscape Character Area. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings, inside urban areas.
224	282	Land at Hill Top Farm	Earl Shilton	When this site was compared with other sites, in particular, brownfield sites inside Earl Shilton, it has been deemed unsuitable for development. The site incorporates greenfield land located inside the Stoke Golding Landscape Character Area. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 suggests that when assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land in urban areas.
226	45	Land adjacent to proposed Earl Shilton by-pass	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because brownfield sites can be found within Earl Shilton. Part of the site incorporates greenfield land outside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings inside urban areas.

226	285	Land to rear of 209-223 Station Road	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because brownfield sites can be found within Earl Shilton. Part of the site incorporates greenfield land outside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings inside urban areas.
226	316	Land at Station Road	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because brownfield sites can be found within Earl Shilton. Part of the site incorporates greenfield land outside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings inside urban areas.
226	390	Land at Station Road	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because land types identified as brownfield sites can be found within Earl Shilton. Part of the site incorporates greenfield land outside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings inside urban areas.

226	548	Land rear of 213 Station Road	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because brownfield sites can be found within Earl Shilton. Part of the site incorporates greenfield land outside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings inside urban areas.
227	235	Land east of Station Road	Earl Shilton	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
228	47	Land south of Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	Site already under construction.
229	55	Oaklands, Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
231	56	Land at Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
231	250	Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.

231	583	Land north of Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
232	260	Land to the south east of Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
233	394	Land parcels at Weavers Springs, Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	No open space within the borough has been considered surplus to requirement, therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision.
234	210	Land inside the bypass at Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
234	374	Land at Huit Farm, Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
234	582	Land at Huit Farm, Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
235	368	Land to rear of Alexander Avenue - LT 376098	Earl Shilton	In accordance with Policy 2 from the Submission Draft Core Strategy this site has been deemed suitable for development. The site falls within the indicative boundary of the Earl Shilton SUE. The exact boundary of the SUE

				will be determined through an Area Action Plan.
236	367	Industrial Estate at Huit Farm, Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	This site has been discounted from consideration of development as it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary or within the proposed sustainable urban extension. In addition the Earl Shilton bypass will divide this site from the urban extension and provide a physical barrier between the site and settlement.
239	46	The Elms, Mill Lane	Earl Shilton	After careful consideration this site that includes a significant portion of greenfield land has been deemed unsuitable for residential development. This is because better suited brownfield sites can be found within Earl Shilton. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development, priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings. The site falls within the indicative SUE boundary, the boundary of the SUE will be determined through an Area Action Plan. The area of land within the cordon sanitaire of sewage works is not suitable.
240	52	Thurlaston Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
240	53	Thurlaston Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.

240	246	Land south of Thurlaston Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
240	259	Land at Mill Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
253	165	Land at Spring Gardens, Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because adequate access provision remains problematic.
591	530	Land adjacent Farm Cottage, Spring Gardens	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing or any other development because access to the site would be off a rural road which is remote from the main development and this is considered unacceptable.
594	534	The Breachlands	Earl Shilton	Site is not suitable because it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary or within the indicative boundary of the sustainable urban extension.
604	541	Allotment off Heath Lane	Earl Shilton	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
606	543	Land at Elmesthorpe Lane	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable because housing development would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside and consequent role in preventing urban coalescence as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
621	577	Mill Lane	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered

				for allocation in that document.
622	50	Land at Ronald Toon Road	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
622	578	Ronald Toon Road	Earl Shilton	As identified by the key diagram in the Submission Draft Core Strategy document this site falls within the indicative area for the Earl Shilton SUE. The boundary will be determined via the SUE Area Action Plan and considered for allocation in that document.
238, 237	242	Huit Farm, Breach Lane	Earl Shilton	The site has been deemed unsuitable for development because it is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary or within the proposed sustainable urban extension.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	612	Land at 213 Station Road, Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	This site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited brownfield sites inside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of previously developed land and vacant and under-used buildings in urban areas.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	622	Land at Nocks Verge, Earl Shilton	Earl Shilton	The site has not been allocated for housing development because further investigation is required when the SHLAA is reviewed.

572	325	Site A, Land at Fenny Drayton	Fenny Drayton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
573	326	Site B, Land at Fenny Drayton	Fenny Drayton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
579	305	Land opposite Redgate Public House	Fenny Drayton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
278	418	Land to rear 273 Leicester Road, Markfield	Field Head	According to the SHLAA this site has been assessed unsuitable for residential development because it is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary and adequate access provision is unlikely. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed

				Changes July 2008).
254	519	Land at Sacheverell Way, Groby	Groby	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development and by not allocating this site means urban coalescence will be prevented. Policy 9 from the Submission Draft Core Strategy states that this important landscape feature should retain the open and undeveloped character, retain and create green networks between the countryside and open spaces within the urban areas.
255	520	Land south of A46, Groby	Groby	This greenfield site has been discounted for built development, it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. The site is detached from the existing settlement boundary by the A46 and is landlocked. The SHLAA states that adequate access provision is unlikely.
257	315	Land off Grey Close	Groby	The slither has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because the SHLAA suggests access remains problematic since it involves the demolition of a dwelling that would be economically unviable due to the sites limited residential capacity.
268	353	The Pool House and adjacent land, Newtown Linford Lane	Groby	This site has been discounted from consideration for future development given its detached position from the rest of the settlement.
269	335	Land at Groby	Groby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it is outside the main urban settlement pattern. When combined with more concentrated sites around the clustered development, putting forward this isolated attachment would be inappropriate since it would significantly alter the areas character as identified in the Landscape Character Assessment.

270	57	Land to rear of 34 Fern Crescent	Groby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it would significantly alter the areas character as identified in the Landscape Character Assessment. The SHLAA provides evidence that the site is of ecological interest and when providing further justification for non-development, the Directions for Growth document 2007 argues that there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites. There are two possible access points to this site. The first includes access off the A50; however entrance points off the A50 have been rejected due to highway concerns. An alternative access point is off Fern Crescent. This would be problematic as it would require travel through a number of existing residential streets.
270	58	Land to rear of 34 Fern Crescent	Groby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it would significantly alter the areas character as identified in the Landscape Character Assessment. The SHLAA provides evidence that the site is of ecological interest and when providing further justification for non-development, the Directions for Growth document 2007 argues that there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites. There are two possible access points to this site. The first includes access off the A50; however entrance points off the A50 have been rejected due to highway concerns. An alternative access point is off Fern Crescent. This would be problematic as it would require travel through a number of existing residential streets.

275	301	Land at Elsalene Drive	Groby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it is outside the main urban settlement pattern. When combined with sites that are better related to the settlement of Groby, putting forward this isolated attachment would be inappropriate since it would significantly alter the areas character as identified in the Landscape Character Assessment.
276	284	Land at Elsalene Drive	Groby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it is outside the main urban settlement pattern. When combined with sites that are better related to the settlement of Groby, putting forward this isolated attachment would prove inappropriate and significantly alter the areas character identified in the Landscape Character Assessment.
497	209	Land north of M1, Groby	Groby	Site is unsuitable for housing / industrial development because it is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary. Groby is physically separated from Ratby and this helps to protect their individual identities as well as providing easy access to open spaces.
499	518	Flood Relief Basin, off Sacheverell Way, Groby	Groby	The site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. Groby is separated from Ratby that helps to protect their individual identities and provide easy access to open spaces. Site is of ecological significance containing mature trees and hedgerows. With reference to the Directions for Growth document 2007 there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites.
611	549	Land off A46	Groby	This site has been deemed unsuitable for mixed use development because it not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. The site is separated from Groby by the A46 which is a significant barrier and adequate access provision is unlikely.

620	576	Anstey Lane	Groby	Site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary and as such is not suitable for development. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of a settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
668	593	The Klondike	Groby	The site has been deemed unsuitable for development because it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. Future flooding has been considered. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of a settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
273, 272	207	Land at the A50, Groby	Groby	The greenfield site (AS273) has been discounted from consideration for development purposes given its segregated position from the rest of the settlement boundary. The SHLAA identifies the site of ecological interest and suggests it is therefore likely to contain protected species. The Directions for Growth Document 2007 advocates the need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites. AS272 is not suitable for mixed use because site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary and combination with As271 is not possible because As271 is an employment site with 100% employment use to be retained.
Not assessed through SHLAA	385	Groby Pool	Groby	The site has not been put forward for development.
Not assessed through SHLAA	161	Land at Ratby Road, Groby	Groby	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough, in particular Groby and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision.

				Allocate for allotments.
Not assessed through SHLAA	216	Land at Markfield Road, Groby	Groby	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
267	369	Land adjacent to Newtown Linford Lane - LT 368297	Groby	This site has been discounted from allocation for development given the detached location from the settlement boundary and its positional sense from the environmental constraint point of view in an area affected by both flood zones 1 & 2.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	600	Land at Brant Inn, Leicester Road	Groby	This greenfield site has been discounted for built development, it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. The site is detached from the existing settlement boundary by the A46 and is landlocked. The SHLAA states that adequate access provision is unlikely.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	624	Land at Ratby Lane Allotments, Groby	Groby	This site was put forward for allotment use not built development. It has been allocated for allotment use.
285	435	Land off Main Street, Stoke Road	Higham on the Hill	Site has been deemed unsuitable for development primarily due to the negative impact this will have on the conservation area. Any development would adversely affect the character of the settlement and would not enhance the village fringe.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	609	Land to the rear of 5-43 Nuneaton Lane, Higham on the Hill.	Higham on the Hill	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development as accessibility is poor.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	618	Land South of Main Street, site (2)	Higham on the Hill	Site not allocated because further investigation required through the SHLAA.

To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	620	Land at Stoke Road, Higham on the Hill	Higham on the Hill	This site was put forward for consideration as a Gypsy and Traveller Site. Planning permission has subsequently been granted for such a use.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	626	Land at Canterbury House	Higham on the Hill	Site has been deemed unsuitable for development primarily due to the negative impact this will have on the conservation area. Any development would adversely affect the character of the settlement and would not enhance the village fringe.
287	251	Land at Wapping Farm and Harrow Farm, Watling Street	Hinckley	This site has been deemed unsuitable for mixed use development because there are more suitable sites concentrated inside the urban area a stance supported under policy 3 of the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 . A section of this site is of ecological interest and the landscape is highly sensitive as defined Landscape Character Assessment identified through the SHLAA process.
290	503	Hinckley Wharf and Water Activity Centre	Hinckley	The site has been deemed unsuitable for mixed use development because there are more better suited sites with less environmental constraints - a large pond covers the vast majority of this site. Development would have an adverse impact on the character of the conservation area. The site has been allocated for open space (HIN100)
296	116	Preservation of The Paddock	Hinckley	The site has not been allocated for built development. The site was put forward as green space and is to be allocated for allotments (HIN39).
299	254	Land to north west of Hinckley adjacent to Northern Perimeter Road	Hinckley	The site has been deemed unsuitable for mixed use development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.

299	508	Land adjacent to Triumph Factory	Hinckley	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing / industrial development. The Directions For Growth document 2007 identifies land North West of Hinckley as a rural setting of villages that should be conserved where any development is likely to have an adverse effect on local ecology.
301	83	Land adjacent to 258 Ashby Rd	Hinckley	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside and forms the setting of Hinckley as a separate settlement with its own unique identity as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
302	82	Land at Nomandy Road	Hinckley	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
303	63	Old tennis courts & playing fields, Leicester Rd/Laneside Dr	Hinckley	The site was assessed unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon open countryside, which acts as a natural buffer to prevent urban coalescence. Site is of ecological interest and the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to these sites needs to be avoided.
303	78	Land at Leicester Road, Hinckley	Hinckley	The site was assessed unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon open countryside, which acts as a natural buffer to prevent urban coalescence. Site is of ecological interest and the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to these sites needs to be avoided.

303	197	Land at Barwell Lane, Hinckley	Hinckley	The site was assessed unsuitable for housing development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon open countryside that acts as a natural buffer used to prevent urban coalescence. Site is of ecological interest and the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that development in or adjacent to these sites needs to be avoided.
304	61	Proposed allocation of land to the east of Leicester Road	Hinckley	This greenfield site on the urban fringe encompassing the rural has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are better suited sites, clustered inside/adjacent to the settlement boundary, allowing this site to remain as an area of separation. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 advocates the concentration of development in urban areas.
304	533	11 Bradgate Road	Hinckley	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because better suited sites are clustered inside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 advocates concentrating development in urban areas.
305	74	Land adjacent to 129 Leicester Rd	Hinckley	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because it is a greenfield site. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 advocates concentrating development in urban areas. However, infill and back-land development will be considered based on site merits through the development control process.
306	231	The Pit	Hinckley	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited sites with less environmental constraints and unproblematic provisions for access.

306	584	Land at Ashby Road	Hinckley	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited sites with less environmental constraints and less problematic access provision.
311	274	Land at 12 Trafford Road	Hinckley	The site has been removed from consideration because unavailable for development.
320	504	Land and buildings at Wood Street, Hinckley	Hinckley	The site has planning permission for residential development.
340	354	Salvation Army, Lancaster Road	Hinckley	This site was not allocated for alternative development because it is currently occupied by the Salvation Army.
346	60	Site at Hinckley Fields	Hinckley	To allocate this small site for employment use has been deemed unsuitable when taking into consideration the current use is residential and better suited 'strategic' employment sites can be found and located elsewhere.
388	300	Nutts Lane / A5 Junction	Hinckley	The site is outside the settlement boundary and adequate access provision is unlikely.
389	280	Land at Corner House Farm, Wykin Village	Hinckley	The site is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary. The Directions For Growth document 2007 identifies land North West of Hinckley as a rural setting that should be conserved, especially if development is more likely than not to have an adverse effect on local ecology.
390	422	Land west of Stoke Lane, Wykin	Hinckley	The site is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary and it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. The Directions For Growth document 2007 identifies land North West of Hinckley as a rural setting of villages that should be

				conserved where any development is likely to have an adverse effect on local ecology.
602	539	Land south of Leicester Road	Hinckley	The site is outside the settlement boundary. The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon open countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment to prevent urban coalescence.
609	546	Land at Sweet Pea Bowling Club	Hinckley	Sweat Pea Bowling Club is a well utilised recreational facility and there is not being put forward for built development.
624	454	Swallows Green Park	Hinckley	This open space is a neighbourhood park serving the north-eastern part of Hinckley. There is an open space deficiency within Hinckley and so this site should be retained and not to be put forward for built development (HIN40/48).
625	455	Richmond Park	Hinckley	This open space is a neighbourhood park serving the north-eastern part of Hinckley. There is an open space deficiency within Hinckley and so this site should be retained for open space. The site is to be put forward for open space (HIN55) and not built development.
630	467	Land to west of Brodick Road	Hinckley	Part of this site has planning permission for residential development, this section has been omitted for this reason. The area to the north of the site is allotments and has been allocated as such (HIN70).
650	488	Allotments Gardens, Clivesway	Hinckley	The current site use is allotments and there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough. Therefore, it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments (HIN69)

				not built development.
650	591	Allotments, Clivesway	Hinckley	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocated for allotments.
Not assessed in SHLAA	136	Preservation of stretches of water and other site within Hinckley	Hinckley	Not site specific.
304	153	Land at Leicester Rd, Hinckley	Hinckley	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because better suited sites are clustered inside the settlement boundary. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 advocates concentrating development in urban areas.
277	261	Land at Barons Park Farm	Kirby Muxloe	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
461	89	Land between Barwell Lane & Shilton Rd	Kirkby Mallory	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

461	90	Land between Barwell Lane & Shilton Rd	Kirkby Mallory	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
461	200	Land adjacent to 9 Barwell Road, Kirkby Mallory	Kirkby Mallory	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
462	317	Land at Peckleton Road	Kirkby Mallory	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
456, 457	245	Mallory Park Estate	Kirkby Mallory	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	599	Land to the rear of 36 and 38 Main Street	Kirkby Mallory	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing requirements to this settlement therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	614	Land at The Woodlands, Kirby Mallory	Kirkby Mallory	The Council's Draft Submission Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing requirements to this settlement therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Draft Submission Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
391	97	Land off Station Road	Market Bosworth	Part of this expression of interest has been identified for development. The area not allocated has been omitted as the area is not suitable for large scale development due to the impact this would have on the surrounding area.
391	97	Land off Station Road	Market Bosworth	Part of this expression of interest has been identified for development. The area not allocated has been omitted as the area is not suitable for large scale development due to the impact this would have on the surrounding area.
391	343	Land south of Station Road	Market Bosworth	Part of this expression of interest has been identified for development. The area not allocated has been omitted as the area is not suitable for large scale development due to the impact this would have on the surrounding area.
391	557	Land above Station Road	Market Bosworth	The site has not been allocated for development and is located outside of the revised settlement boundary.
393	147	Land south of Station Road	Market Bosworth	Northern section of this site has been allocated green space. The SHLAA site is of a significant size and only a small section of this AS site has been taken forward. A large allocation would have a significant impact on the

				surrounding countryside.
393	150	Land south of Station Road	Market Bosworth	Northern section of this site has been allocated green space. The SHLAA site is of a significant size and only a small section of this AS site has been taken forward. A large allocation would have a significant impact on the surrounding countryside.
393	556	Disused Station, Station Road	Market Bosworth	Northern section of this site has been allocated green space. The SHLAA site is of a significant size and only a small section of this AS site has been taken forward. A large allocation would have a significant impact on the surrounding countryside.
394	388	Land adjacent 44 Heath Road, Market Bosworth	Market Bosworth	SHLAA consultation response has indicated that the site is not currently available for development.
398	99	St Peters C of E Primary School	Market Bosworth	Site is not suitable for housing development because no areas of open space have been identified as surplus to requirements, therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision (MKBOS22).
399	98	Nutwood Pastures	Market Bosworth	Site is not suitable for mixed use development because it would block or hinder an important view or vista as documented in the Market Bosworth Conservation Area Appraisal.
401	505	Land to rear of Harcourt Spinney	Market Bosworth	This site is not suitable for housing development because the main body of the site is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary and adequate access provision is undetermined.
640	478	Land to west of 78 Heath Road	Market Bosworth	This small scale site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because larger scale sites will meet the housing requirements. Nevertheless, infill development will be considered based on the sites individual merits through the development control process.

Not assessed through SHLAA	558	Land at Woodlands, Station Road	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has not been allocated for development and is located outside of the revised settlement boundary.
Not assessed through SHLAA	559	Land at Ambion Rise	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has not been allocated for development and is located outside of the revised settlement boundary.
Not assessed through SHLAA	560	Land above Back Lane	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has not been allocated for development and is located outside of the revised settlement boundary.
Not assessed through SHLAA	561	The Wildnerness	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has not been allocated for development and is located outside of the revised settlement boundary.
Not assessed through SHLAA	359	The Parish Field	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has been deemed unsuitable for any built development because the site was put forward for current use.
Not assessed through SHLAA	360	The Memorial Garden	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has been deemed unsuitable for any built development because the site was put forward for current use.
Not assessed through SHLAA	361	Cemetery, Shenton Lane	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has been deemed unsuitable for any built development because the site was put forward for current use.
Not assessed through SHLAA	362	Public Car Park, Rectory Lane	Market Bosworth	Expression of Interest not put forward for built development. The site has been deemed unsuitable for any built development because the site was put forward for current use.

279	264	Leicester Road	Markfield	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it would encroach to an unacceptable level upon sensitive open countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. Part of an ecologically sensitive site encroaches onto this site and the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites. It is also less related to the local centre than the preferred options.
279	269	Leicester Road	Markfield	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it would prove an unacceptable encroachment upon sensitive open countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. Part of the ecology site encroaches on to the site and for guidance the Directions for Growth document 2007 states that there is a need to avoid development in or adjacent to these sites.
402	215	Garden of The Homestead, Thornton Lane, Markfield	Markfield	According to the SHLAA this site has been assessed unsuitable for residential development because it is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary and adequate access provision is unlikely. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).

403	412	Charnwood Poultry Farm, Thornton Lane	Markfield	The site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
404	102	Land adjacent to 22 Thornton Lane	Markfield	The site is outside the settlement boundary. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
405	392	Upper Grange Farm, Ratby Lane, Markfield	Markfield	Neither within nor adjacent to the settlement boundary. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
406	338	Land at Lower Grange Farm, London Road	Markfield	Has not been identified for built development. See allotment allocation (MARK13).
408	521	Land off Pinewood Drive	Markfield	Outside the settlement boundary. There are better suited sites in and around the key rural centre where growth has been identified in the Directions for Growth document (2007).
414	180	Land at Mill Lane, Markfield	Markfield	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because adequate access provision is unlikely. The SHLAA states that access to the site would be off a rural road with generally fast moving traffic and this is unacceptable.

417	93	Land off Stanton Lane, Markfield. Suggest an agricultural retail outlet such as a nursery	Markfield	Outside the settlement boundary is not within an area identified for growth by the Draft Submission Core Strategy. There are better suited sites in and around the key rural centre whereas this specific site is in a remote rural locale. Land to the west of Markfield that is identified as ecologically important should be retained and not allocated for retail / employment use as stated in the Directions for Growth document 2007.
418	290	Land at Flying Horse Roundabout - A50	Markfield	Outside the settlement boundary and is not within an area of growth identified by the Submission Draft Core Strategy. Better suited sites can be found in and around the key rural centre whereas this specific site is remote from the main settlement of Markfield. The SHLAA states that the area has particularly attractive countryside.
419	277	Land off Billa Barra Lane	Markfield	Outside the settlement boundary and is not within an area identified for growth by the Submission Draft Core Strategy. There are better suited sites in and around the key rural centre for residential development whereas this specific site is in a remote rural locale. It is also an ecological site where development should be avoided as stated in the Directions for Growth document (2007). The SHLAA states that the area has particularly attractive countryside.
420	258	Land at Little Shaw Lane	Markfield	Outside the settlement boundary and there are sites in and around the key rural centre for employment purposes whereas this specific site is in a remote rural location. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).

420	289	Land at Little Shaw Lane	Markfield	Outside the settlement boundary and falls inside an area not identified for growth by the Submission Draft Core Strategy. There are better suited sites in and around the key rural centre for employment use whereas this specific site is in a remote rural location.
421	377	Pastureland at 50 Ashby Road	Markfield	This site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because the SHLAA states that adequate access provision is unlikely. The majority of the site is within Charnwood Borough Council and lies outside of the settlement boundary.
672	594	Land at Little Shaw Lane	Markfield	Not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. In addition the area has also been identified as being of particular attractive countryside and should be enhanced. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
Not assessed through SHLAA	386	Hillhole Quarry	Markfield	The site has not been put forward for development. It has been identified as open space (MARK12/18)
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	607	Land at Woodlands, Thornton Lane, Markfield	Markfield	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because better suited sites have been found in and around the key rural centre.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	611	Land off Forest Road, Markfield	Markfield	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because accessibility is problematic. In addition the site is located in open countryside within the Charnwood Fringe Landscape Character Area.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	617	Land off Little Shaw Lane	Markfield	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because better suited sites have been found in and around the key rural centre.

422	108	Land adjoining and rear of The Barns	Nailstone	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are better suited sites that can be aligned and retain the shape of the existing settlement pattern and therefore form a natural extension. The site covers grade 2 agricultural land and because agricultural practice is an adjacent use then this further supports the need to adopt a protectionist approach. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7, the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development.
425	397	Glebe Farm, Occupation Road, Nailstone	Nailstone	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because there are more suitable sites where there are no current dwellings as well as outbuildings on site. The SHLAA states that this may have an impact on achievability.
426	310	Land serving the Rectory, Rectory Road	Nailstone	Site excluded from consideration because already under construction.
452	114	Merrylees Road Newbold Heath	Newbold Heath	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development; therefore, no development land has been allocated.
453	174	Plots 14 and 15 off Merrylees Road, Newbold Heath	Newbold Heath	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development; therefore, no development land has been allocated.
454	175	Plots 12 and 13 off Merrylees Road	Newbold Heath	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development; therefore, no development land has been allocated.

434	270	Land at Newbold Verdon	Newbold Verdon	This piece of greenfield land situated in the countryside does not fall in and around the key rural centre. The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it is not within or adjacent to the settlement boundary. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
435	299	Land off Bagworth Road	Newbold Verdon	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary and was found unsuitable as a housing allocation as it is beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional
439	109	57 Main Street, The Old Rectory	Newbold Verdon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for development because it was excluded from consideration in the SHLAA process as the site is already under construction.
440	352	Land at Fourwinds, Barlestone Road	Newbold Verdon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it does not coincide with the proposed settlement growth pattern. Grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site and adjacent use incorporates agriculture. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7. The site is above the B582 and significant barrier separating the site from Newbold Verdon.
443	193	Land off Brascote Lane, Newbold Verdon	Newbold Verdon	The greenfield site characterised by surrounding countryside has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it is not adjacent to the settlement boundary. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as they are beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the

				Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
444	105	Land south of the properties on Arnolds Crescent	Newbold Verdon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because the area not classified as agricultural land would need to be accessed via the demolition of a dwelling on Arnolds Crescent which has not been submitted.
445	164	Land on the east side of Newbold Verdon	Newbold Verdon	A section of this expression of interest has been put forward as a preferred option. The entire site has not been put forward as the housing requirement for Newbold Verdon can be met on a smaller site. Access onto Kirkby Road is problematic as it is narrow in nature and for this reason this section of the land was not appropriate.
446	555	Land at School Farm, Desford Road	Newbold Verdon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it is grade 2 agricultural land and adjacent use incorporates agriculture. Eastern section comprises a site of ecological interest and the entire site falls within the countryside that needs to be enhanced as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment. The site is above the B582 and significant barrier separating the site from Newbold Verdon.
447	349	Land to the south of Desford Road	Newbold Verdon	This site was not taken forward as an allocation as it is less related to the core of the settlement than the preferred allocation. The access to the site would be off Desford Lane which is a busy thoroughfare. An alternative access is off Kirkby Lane which is narrow in nature and not appropriate.
447	350	Land to the south of Desford Road	Newbold Verdon	This site was not taken forward as an allocation as it is less related to the core of the settlement than the preferred allocation. The access to the site would be off Desford Lane which is a busy thoroughfare. An alternative access

				is off Kirkby Lane which is narrow in nature and not appropriate.
448	437	Land off Desford Road	Newbold Verdon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it does not coincide with the proposed settlement growth pattern. Grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site and adjacent use incorporates agriculture. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7. The site is above the B582 and significant barrier separating the site from Newbold Verdon.
605	542	Land at Mill Lane	Newbold Verdon	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. The site is above the B582 and significant barrier separating the site from Newbold Verdon.
639	477	Land at corner of Main Street / Sparkenhoe	Newbold Verdon	This open space aesthetically enhances the landscape as well as being an important green asset within the local centre. Retain as open space.
675	115	Boundary Change Brascote Lane, Newbold Verdon	Newbold Verdon	Land has not been allocated for development. Concerns were raised within the SHLAA in relation to ownership constraints. No change to settlement boundary.
560	403	Land rear of Merevale House, Main Street	Norton Juxta Twycross	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
561	411	Land adjacent 11 Nob Hill	Norton Juxta Twycross	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

563	223	Boundary adjustment Manor Farm	Norton Juxta Twycross	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
564	112	Land at 18 Cock Lane, Norton-Juxta-Twycross	Norton Juxta Twycross	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
512	168	Woodlands House, The Woodlands, Odstone	Odstone	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development; therefore, no development land has been allocated.
513	236	Garden of Willow Cottage, Smithy Lane	Odstone	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development; therefore, no development land has been allocated.
556	404	Land adjacent 7, Pipe Lane, Orton on the Hill	Orton on the Hill	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

557	337	Land at Main Street	Orton on the Hill	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
657	421	Barton Road	Osbaston	The land is unsuitable for residential development because during the SHLAA process this site was excluded from consideration because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site. The Submission Draft Core Strategy does not require a housing allocation within Osbaston and therefore no land has been allocated.
658	592	Osbaston Hollow	Osbaston	The Submission Draft Core Strategy does not require a housing allocation within Osbaston and therefore no land has been allocated. In any case the site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary and as such is not suitable for development.
463	155	Land to the south of Kirby Lane & Main St, Peckleton	Peckleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

464	318	Land at Desford Lane, Peckleton	Peckleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
607	544	32 Main Street	Peckleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
474	417	Land surrounding Alexandra Stone Works, Desford Lane	Ratby	This site was deemed unsuitable for residential development because it is not adjacent to the settlement boundary. The site adjoins another site excluded from consideration due to flood risk. There are more appropriate sites that retain the settlements character and aligned with the existing development pattern. In this instance the site is of ecological interest and within highly sensitive countryside as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
476	118	43 Back Road Ratby	Ratby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development purposes because there are more suitable sites with fewer environmental constraints in regards to flooding and the anticipated consequences brought by climate change.

477	429	Land at Station Road	Ratby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites where development will not have a detrimental impact on the character of the surrounding area as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
487	568	Site F	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
488	522	Site B Markfield Road/ Burroughs Road	Ratby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because there are more suitable sites where development will not have a detrimental impact on the character of the surrounding area. This site covers part of the National Forest and contains a strong distinctive character with generally high sensitivity which limits the capacity for change.
488	563	Site A	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
488	565	Site C	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
488	570	Site H	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
489	523	Site C Burroughs Road/ Desford Lane rear of Pear Tree Farm	Ratby	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for employment development because there are more suitable sites where development will not have a detrimental impact on the character of the surrounding area. This site covers part of the National Forest and as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment it contains a strong distinctive character with generally high sensitivity which limits capacity for change.

500	103	Land south of Thornton Lane	Ratby	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary and was found unsuitable as a housing allocation as it is beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
Not assessed through SHLAA	524	Site D (Allotments) Adjacent to Desford Lane	Ratby	This site was put forward for allotments.
Not assessed through SHLAA	525	Site D (Community Hall) Adjacent to Desford Lane	Ratby	This site was put forward for a Community Hall. This site has been omitted as the Submission Draft Submission Draft Core Strategy states that land to the west and south of the village should be protected as it plays an important role in providing a rural context to Ratby's historic core as supported by the Hinckley and Bosworth Landscape Strategy.
Not assessed through SHLAA	564	Site B	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
Not assessed through SHLAA	566	Site D	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
Not assessed through SHLAA	567	Site E	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
Not assessed through SHLAA	569	Site G	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
Not assessed through SHLAA	571	Site I	Ratby	Expression of interest was put forward as allotment use. This site has not been put forward for built development.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	604	Martinshaw Lodge, Markfield Road	Ratby	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary and was found unsuitable as a housing allocation as it is beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).

475	119	Land off Park Road Ratby	Ratby	The site is not suitable for housing development because Flood zones 2 & 3 cover the entire site.
491	117	Pear Tree Farm, Main Street	Ratby	This site has been excluded from allocation as it has planning permission and is under construction.
496	120	Land to rear of Markfield Road / Groby Road, Ratby	Ratby	The SHLAA identifies this site unsuitable for housing development because adequate access provision is unlikely.
580	438	Land off Church Lane	Ratcliffe Culey	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
581	124	Change to development boundary for Ratcliffe Culey	Ratcliffe Culey	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
517	434	Wharf Farm, Station Road	Shackerstone	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

593	532	Land at Derby Lane	Shackerstone	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
518	439	The Rectory, Church Lane	Sheepy Magna	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because the SHLAA states that development may adversely affect the area of special character and no areas of open space have been identified as surplus to requirements.
519	440	Land to the west of Sheepy Magna	Sheepy Magna	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it contains grade 2 agricultural land which is currently in use. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7, the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development. It would also lead to an unnatural extension to the current settlement form. Access would be through the main residential area of Sheepy Magna where there is only one vehicular access onto Main Road. Development would amount to increased pressure on the one access and cause additional through traffic through the estate.
521	420	Land adjacent Crosshands Cottage, Orton Lane	Sheepy Magna	Isolated from the main rural village the site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it is outside the settlement boundary. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as the site is beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan

				(Proposed Changes July 2008).
522	419	Land off Twycross Road	Sheepy Magna	Isolated from the main rural village the site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it is outside the settlement boundary. Sites that were not adjacent to the settlement boundary were found unsuitable as the site is beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008).
526	167	Land off Twycross Road, Sheepy	Sheepy Magna	Isolated from the main settlement pattern the site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site whilst adjacent use is agriculture. In accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7, the decision has been taken to make every effort to protect quality agricultural land from development.
616	572	Site 1	Sheepy Magna	After careful consideration the site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site and adjacent use is agriculture.
617	573	Site 2	Sheepy Magna	The site has been deemed unsuitable for development because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site.
618	574	Site 3	Sheepy Magna	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it would adversely affect the settlements locally distinctive linear pattern as defined in the Landscape Character Assessment.
523	125	Land at Sheepy Parva	Sheepy Parva	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development, therefore, no development land has been allocated.
524	126	Land at Sheepy Parva	Sheepy Parva	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development, therefore, no development land has been allocated.

525	241	Sibson Road	Sheepy Parva	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development, therefore, no development land has been allocated.
552	287	Land opposite Aqueduct Farm	Shenton	These settlements are not considered sustainable locations for development, therefore, no development land has been allocated.
527	413	Land above Mayfield, Twycross Road	Sibson	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
527	414	Land to the east of Twycross Road	Sibson	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
416	292	Land at Stanton under Bardon	Stanton under Bardon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for industrial development because the site is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. This does not meet Policy 12 from the Submission Draft Core Strategy that only supports development which enables home working and other small scale employment uses within rural villages.

528	345	Ellistown Lane	Stanton under Bardon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because it is not within or adjacent to settlement boundary. Policy 12 from the Submission Draft Core Strategy only supports development that enables home working and other small scale employment uses within rural villages. With regards to residential development there is a better suited site that singularly meets the housing numbers set out in the Submission Draft Core Strategy.
529	194	Land off Thornton Lane	Stanton under Bardon	Because As530 has not been allocated the site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary. The site falls within the Charnwood Fringe Landscape Character Area and encroaches upon open countryside in the National Forest.
530	129	Land adjacent to "Old Thatched Inn", Stanton under Bardon	Stanton under Bardon	This small site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because the housing requirement set under policy 12 of the Submission Draft Core Strategy will be attained using a larger and more suitable site. This area was omitted as it would have a larger impact on the surrounding countryside and is a greater distance from the convenience store in the village in comparison to the preferred option.
530	186	Land adjacent to "The Old Thatched Inn", Stanton under Bardon	Stanton under Bardon	This small site has been deemed unsuitable for development because the housing requirement will be attained using a larger site set under policy 12 of the Submission Draft Core Strategy will be attained using a larger and more suitable site. This area was omitted as it would have a larger impact on the surrounding countryside and is a greater distance from the convenience store in the village in comparison to the preferred option.
531	271	Land at Stanton under Bardon	Stanton under Bardon	The site has been deemed unsuitable for housing development because access is inadequate.

532	128	Proposed development to the rear of 32 Main Street Stanton Under Bardon	Stanton under Bardon	This small scale site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because adequate access provision remains problematic.
645	483	Land to west of Thornton Lane	Stanton under Bardon	The site is not adjacent to the settlement boundary and was found unsuitable as a housing allocation as it is beyond the built up limits of the settlement and within open countryside. This approach conforms to Policy 12 of the Draft East Midlands Regional Plan (Proposed Changes July 2008). A section of this site has been identified as an alternative option for a Gypsy and Traveller Site (see STA09ALT).
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	632	Land south of Preston Close	Stanton Under Bardon	This land was omitted as access would be required through an existing residential area; this is in comparison to the preferred site which is accessed via the main road through the settlement.
31	131	Boundary to rear of 212 Main Street Thornton	Stapleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
467	130	Land at Dadlington Lane	Stapleton	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.

468	127	Area of land Dadlington Lane Stapleton	Stapleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
469	198	Land off Dadlington Lane at Stapleton	Stapleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
470	146	Dwelling opposite 1 Hinckley Rd	Stapleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on their merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
472	356	Land at the Manor, School Lane	Stapleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

632	470	Beales Close	Stapleton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
533	383	The Paddock, Higham Lane, Stoke Golding	Stoke Golding	This greenfield site located in the rural setting has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because it is significantly not within or adjacent to settlement boundary.
534	238	Land west of Station Road, between Park House and Higham Lane	Stoke Golding	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because this would adversely impact on the character of the ancient monument and would impinge on views to be protected as defined in the Stoke Golding Conservation Area Appraisal.
537	321	Land off Wykin Road	Stoke Golding	This area was omitted from consideration due to poor accessibility for the level of housing required and the impact it would have on the surrounding area.
539	512	Pine Close Stables	Stoke Golding	The site has been developed for residential use.
540	336	Land at Hinckley Road	Stoke Golding	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because suitability is dependent on adequate access provision. In addition the site cannot be combined with adjacent site because access from the farm was not included. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of brownfield sites that can be found elsewhere in the settlement based on availability.

541	320	Land off Hinckley Road	Stoke Golding	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for any development because suitability is dependent on adequate access provision. In addition the site cannot be combined with adjacent site because farm access was not included. Policy 3 from the East Midlands Regional Plan Proposed Changes July 2008 states that in assessing the suitability of sites for development priority should be given to making best use of brownfield sites. This land type can be found elsewhere in the settlement based on availability and where new open space can be allocated and linked with existing open space.
542	196	Land at Stoke Golding	Stoke Golding	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because adequate access provision is unlikely.
543	248	Land to the rear of 57 Roseway	Stoke Golding	The greenfield site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because adequate access provision is unlikely.
544	409	Land adjacent Roseway	Stoke Golding	The site has been deemed unsuitable for residential development because grade 2 agricultural land covers the entire site. Due to land constraints within Stoke Golding the preferred option is on Grade II agricultural land it was the preferred option as it had better access that this site.
544	536	Land off Roseway	Stoke Golding	The site already has planning permission for residential development.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	621	Land at 36 Station Road, Stoke Golding	Stoke Golding	The site is deemed unsuitable for residential development because accessibility has not been made clear.

553	423	Townsend Farm, Sutton Cheney	Sutton Cheney	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
555	424	Hall Farm, Main Street, Sutton Cheney	Sutton Cheney	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	608	Land off Main Street, Sutton Cheney	Sutton Cheney	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
18	355	Land at Brooklands, LE67 1AP	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

19	295	Field to the rear of Manor Farm, adjacent to Bagworth Lane	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
19	395	Farmhouse, Main Street, Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
21	133	Proposed change to development boundary for Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
22	132	Manor Farm Main Street Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

23	247	Land off Markfield Lane	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
24	331	Land adjacent to 75 Main Street	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
25	308	Land at and rear of 62-78 Main Street (land at Mill Lane)	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
26	309	Land east of Mill Lane	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

27	312	Land off Beech Drive	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
32	201	Land rear of 216 Main Street, Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
33	134	Land to rear of Sharps close, Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
33	183	Land to rear of Sharps close, Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

36	135	Land at Reservoir Road	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	603	Land at Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
To be assessed in SHLAA Review – anticipated April 2009	610	Land off Beech Drive, Thornton	Thornton	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. Development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
560	113	Land to the rear of 27 Main Street	Twycross	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
566	431	Land to the west of Burton Road	Twycross	A small part of this expression of interest has been allocated for residential development. This area is off Orton Lane. A significant part of this site has been omitted as only a small amount of land is required to meet the Submission Draft Core Strategy housing requirement for Twycross.

569	416	Allotment, Gopsall Estate	Twycross	The current site use is allotments, there is a shortfall of allotments within the Borough and therefore it is necessary to protect existing provision. Allocate for allotments.
633	471	Land at Hallfields	Twycross	The site has been deemed unsuitable for development because a better suited single site can meet the housing number requirements set for this settlement.
582	441	Land off Church Lane	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
585	426	Land east of Witherley	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
586	425	Land east of Witherley	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

587	137	Boundary Change Chapel lane Witherley	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
587	138	Land adjacent to the village hall at Witherley	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
587	139	Local Plan Review Boundary Change, The Old Stables Chapel Fields Witherley	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.
589	528	Land at 59 Kennel Lane	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

656	587	Land south of Chapel Lane, Witherley	Witherley	The Council's Submission Draft Core Strategy document (2008) has not allocated any housing and/or employment requirements to this settlement, therefore no allocations are required. However, infill development will be considered on its merits through the development control process. In addition, development in line with Submission Draft Core Strategy Policy 17: Local Choice, may be applicable.

GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITES – ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

HIN87: Hinckley (Stoke Road)		
Previous Ref: n/a		**SHLAA Ref: n/a**
Description:	Land to the west of Stoke Road, Hinckley	
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of up to 0.2 ha of the land for a transit site up to a caravan capacity of 10 for use by gypsy and travellers.	
Justification for the proposal	Information received from Leicestershire County Council has shown that a high proportion of unauthorised gypsy and traveller encampments recorded between 1996 – 2007 have been located within the south of the Borough around Hinckley. It is considered that transit sites are best placed to be located in areas with a history of unauthorised encampments. Due to its proximity to the strategic road network, it is considered that Hinckley is an accessible settlement with good links to the rest of the Borough and surrounding area. Although this site has not been previously developed, paragraph 54 of Planning Circular 01/06 states that "rural settings, where not subject to special planning constraints, are acceptable in principle". Providing that the development of this site could be sympathetically assimilated into its surroundings, it is considered that this site could be suitable for a small, four pitch gypsy and traveller site. Development of this site would be dependent on the provision of safe highway access, as highlighted within policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Draft Core Strategy. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Draft Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Hinckley. This site is currently a smallholding owned by Leicestershire County Council. There may be the potential for issues regarding the lease of this land which may affect the deliverability of the site. It is for this reason that the site is considered a secondary option.	

EAR18: Earl Shilton		
Previous Ref: n/a		**SHLAA Ref: n/a**
Description:	Site off Thurlaston Lane	
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 1.1 ha of the land for 12 residential pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.	

Justification for the proposal	This site has previously been occupied by gypsies and travellers and could therefore be considered to be adequately equipped for future occupation. Development of this site would be dependent on the provision of safe highway access, as highlighted within policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Draft Core Strategy. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Earl Shilton. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the potential for issues relating to highways access.

NEW12: Newbold Verdon	
Previous Ref: EoI 278, 349, 350, 164	**SHLAA Ref: As445**
Description:	Land to the west of Kirkby Lane
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.2ha of the land for 4 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.
Justification for the proposal	Although there has been no history of gypsy and traveller activity on this site, this site was put forward as an expression of interest for housing development as part of the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment. It is considered that this site could be an alternative location for a small scale gypsy and traveller site providing that safe highways access could be provided. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Newbold Verdon. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the potential for issues relating to highways access and land ownership.

BARL16: Barlestone	
Previous Ref: n/a	**SHLAA Ref: n/a**
Description:	Oak View, Land at Garlands Lane (extension to an existing site)
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.2ha of the land for an additional 4 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.
Justification for the proposal	This site lies to the north of Garland Lane in Barlestone Parish. The site currently has planning permission for use as a 10 pitch gypsy and traveller site. As this site exists it is considered that its suitability for use as a gypsy and traveller site has been determined. There

	has been a historic interest in the extension of this site, therefore, the potential exists for a four pitch extension at this location. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within a number of surrounding Key Rural Centres (as defined in the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy). This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the high concentration of gypsy and traveller pitches currently existing in the area.

STG13: Stoke Golding		
Previous Ref: EoI 321		**SHLAA Ref: As537**
Description:	South of village, east of Wykin Lane	
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.2ha of the land for 4 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.	
Justification for the proposal	Although there has been no history of gypsy and traveller activity on this site, this site was put forward as an expression of interest for housing development as part of the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment. It is considered that this site could be an alternative location for a small scale gypsy and traveller site providing that safe highways access could be provided. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Stoke Golding. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the potential for issues relating to highways access and land ownership.	

STA09: Stanton under Bardon		
Previous Ref: EoI 483		**SHLAA Ref: As645**
Description:	Site south of Stanton Under Bardon, off Thornton Lane	
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.2ha of the land for 4 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.	
Justification for the proposal	This site was submitted as an expression of interest for housing development through the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and is currently under the ownership of Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council. This site is therefore considered to be available in terms of land ownership. Although this site has not been previously developed, paragraph 54 of Planning Circular 01/06 states that “rural	

	settings, where not subject to special planning constraints, are acceptable in principle". Providing that the development of this site could be sympathetically assimilated into its surroundings, it is considered that this site could be suitable for a small, four pitch gypsy and traveller site. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Stanton Under Bardon. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the potential for issues relating to highways access.

BUR34: Burbage	
Previous Ref: EoI 77, 144	**SHLAA Ref: As118**
Description:	Site south of Burbage, east of Rugby Road
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.2ha of the land for 4 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.
Justification for the proposal	Although there has been no history of gypsy and traveller activity on this site, this site was put forward as an expression of interest for housing development as part of the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment. The site is currently bounded by trees at the site edges. It is considered that this could assist with the site's assimilation into the surroundings. It is considered that this site could be an alternative location for a small scale gypsy and traveller site providing that safe highways access could be provided. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Burbage. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to its proximity to the Aston Firs gypsy and traveller site, along with potential issues relating to land ownership and highways access.

STG13: Stoke Golding	
Previous Ref: n/a	**SHLAA Ref: n/a**
Description:	Land off Stoke Lane, Stoke Golding
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.2ha of the land for 4 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.
Justification for the proposal	Although there has been no history of gypsy and traveller activity on this site, this site was put forward as an expression of interest for housing development as part of the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment. It is

	considered that this site could be an alternative location for a small scale gypsy and traveller site providing that safe highways access could be provided. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Stoke Golding. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the potential for issues relating to highways access and land ownership.

BAG16: Bagworth		
Previous Ref: EoI 601		**SHLAA Ref: n/a**
Description:	Land west of Heath Road, Bagworth	
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.1ha of the land for 2 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.	
Justification for the proposal	This is an existing site that was previously granted temporary planning permission which has since lapsed. The current occupier of this land has since expressed an interest in securing an allocation for the continued use of this land as a gypsy and traveller site. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Bagworth. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the existing level of gypsy and traveller pitches in the area and the potential for issues relating to highways access.	

THO10: Thornton		
Previous Ref: 633		**SHLAA Ref: n/a**
Description:	Land north of Reservoir Road, Thornton	
Suggested proposal:	Allocation of approximately 0.2ha of the land for 4 pitches for use by gypsy and travellers.	
Justification for the proposal	This land has been submitted as an expression of interest for a gypsy and traveller site. Although this site has not been previously developed, paragraph 54 of Planning Circular 01/06 states that "rural settings, where not subject to special planning constraints, are acceptable in principle". Providing that the development of this site could be sympathetically assimilated into its surroundings, it is considered that this site could be suitable for a small, four pitch gypsy and traveller site. Development of this site would be subject to the provision of safe highways access in order to be in conformity with	

	Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy. In terms of location and access to services and facilities, this site is in accordance with Policy 18 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Submission Core Strategy as it is located within a reasonable distance of those available within Thornton and Bagworth. This site is considered to be a secondary option due to the existing level of gypsy and traveller pitches in the area.