HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

8 JUNE 2010 AT 6.30 PM

PRESENT: MR R MAYNE - CHAIRMAN

MR DW INMAN - VICE-CHAIRMAN

Mr JC Bown, Mr MB Cartwright, Mr WJ Crooks, Mr DM Gould, Mrs A Hall, Mr P Hall, Mr K Morrell, Mr LJP O'Shea, Mr BE

Sutton and Ms BM Witherford.

Officers in attendance: Mr J Hicks, Ms T Miller, Miss R Owen, Mr M Rice and Mr S Wood.

42 <u>APOLOGIES AND SUBSTITUTIONS</u>

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Mr Boothby, Mr Joyce, Mr Nichols and Mr Ward with the substitution of Mr Cartwright for Mr Boothby authorised in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 4.3.

43 MINUTES (P1)

On the motion of Mr Crooks, seconded by Mr Bown, it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the minutes of the meeting held on 11 May 2010 be confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

44 <u>ADDITIONAL URGENT BUSINESS BY REASON OF SPECIAL</u> CIRCUMSTANCES

It was reported that the Chairman had agreed to receive an urgent item "Comments on letter dated 27 May 2010 from Eric Pickles, Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government" which would be taken after item 6 on the agenda. This was considered urgent due to the implications of the points raised in the letter.

45 <u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u>

No interests were declared at this stage.

46 DECISIONS DELEGATED AT PREVIOUS MEETING

The Head of Planning reported on the following applications which had been delegated at the meeting on 11 May:

- (i) 09/00940/FUL it was reported that the decision had been issued on 1 June;
- (ii) 09/00901/OUT it was reported that the decision had been issued on 24 May;

- (iii) 10/00213/FUL it was reported that the decision had been issued on 13 May;
- (iv) 10/00214/LBC it was reported that the decision had been issued on 13 May.

47 <u>COMMENTS ON LETTER DATED 27 MAY 2010 FROM ERIC PICKLES,</u> SECRETARY OF STATE FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Reference was made to this urgent item which was contained within the late items. The letter stated the Government's intention to abolish Regional Spatial Strategies.

Discussion ensued with regard to possible implications for the Core Strategy, housing numbers, five year housing land supply, the Regional Spatial Strategy and site allocations. Little clarification or guidance had been received as to the impact of the letter which was to be regarded as a material planning consideration.

It was agreed that Members would be kept informed of information as it is received and advice given on the implications of such information would be given.

48 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 - APPLICATIONS TO BE DETERMINED (P2)

The Committee considered a schedule of planning applications, together with a list of late items, and the recommendations of the Deputy Chief Executive (Community Direction).

(a) 10/00247/FUL - Erection of one new dwelling with detached garage, Land adjacent to 19 Summers Close, Kirkby Mallory - Mr Mitchell Green

On the motion of Mr Sutton, seconded by Mr Morrell, it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the application be approved subject to the conditions contained in the officer's report and late items.

(b) 10/00162/FUL – Substitution of house types for plots 8-10 and 13-21, Breconshire Hosiery, Rossendale Road, Earl Shilton – Redrow Homes (Midlands) Ltd

It was moved by Mr Sutton, seconded by Mr Morrell and

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the application be approved subject to the conditions contained in the officer's report.

(c) <u>10/00207/FUL – Extensions and alterations to dwelling, 20 Hilary</u> Crescent, Groby – Mr Gary Hawkins

Members were reminded that this had been deferred at the last

meeting for a site visit.

Some Members felt that the proposed extension was out of keeping with the surrounding area, had a detrimental impact on the street scene and constituted over-development. It was moved by Mr Cartwright and seconded by Mr Morrell that the application be refused on these grounds.

It was requested that voting be recorded on this motion.

Mr Cartwright, Mr Crooks and Mr Morrell voted FOR the motion (3);

Mr Inman, Mr Bown, Mr Gould, Mrs Hall, Mr Hall and Ms Witherford voted AGAINST the motion (6);

Mr Mayne, Mr O'Shea and Mr Sutton abstained from voting (3).

The motion was therefore declared LOST.

It was then moved by Mr Bown, seconded by Mr Hall and

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the application be approved subject to the conditions contained in the officer's report.

(d) 10/00221/CONDIT – Variation of Condition no.24 of Planning Permission 09/00141/DEEM to allow a wind turbine on the roof of the building, Land adj Goddard Building, Lower Bond Street, Hinckley – North Warwickshire and Hinckley College

On the motion of Mr Gould, seconded by Mr Crooks it was

<u>RESOLVED</u> – subject to no significant material observations being received by the end of the consultation period expiring on 10 June 2010, the Deputy Chief Executive (Community Direction) be granted delegated powers to grant planning permission subject to the conditions contained in the officer's report and late items.

(e) 10/00271/DEEM – Variation of condition no.2 of Planning Permission 09/00141/DEEM to allow minor alterations, Goddard Building, Lower Bond Street, Hinckley – NWHC and HBBC

<u>RESOLVED</u> – the application be approved subject to the conditions contained within the officer's report and late items.

(f) <u>10/00375/OUT – Construction of seven detached dwellings (amended scheme)</u>, 30A Barton Road, Barlestone – Rellum Estates LLP

Some Members felt that affordable housing should be provided on this site and it was moved by Mr Morrell and seconded by Mr Hall that the application be refused on these grounds.

It was requested that voting be recorded on this motion.

Mr Cartwright, Mr Bown, Mr Crooks, Mr Hall and Mr Morrell voted FOR the motion (5);

Mr Mayne, Mr Inman, Mr Gould, Mrs Hall and Ms Witherford voted AGAINST the motion (5);

Mr O'Shea and Mr Sutton abstained from voting.

Having recorded an equal number of votes for and against the motion, the Chairman exercised his right to have a casting vote and voted AGAINST the motion. The motion was therefore declared LOST.

On the motion of Mrs Hall, seconded by Mr Gould, it was

RESOLVED – subject to no significant material observations being received by the end of the consultation period expiring on 10 June 2010, the Deputy Chief Executive (Community Direction) be granted delegated powers to grant outline planning permission for the development subject to the conditions contained in the officer's report and late items and the execution of an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Section III of the Local Government Act 1972 towards the provision and maintenance of public play and open space facilities and healthcare contributions. Failure to do so by 8 July 2010 might result in the application being refused.

49 APPEALS LODGED AND DETERMINED (P3)

A summary was submitted of appeals lodged and determined since the last meeting. It was reported that the appeal with regard to Stretton House had been withdrawn. It was

RESOLVED – the report be noted.

50 <u>APPEALS – PROGRESS (P4)</u>

A schedule was submitted indicating the stages that various appeals against planning decisions had reached. It was reported that the appeal with regard to Stretton House had been withdrawn. It was

RESOLVED – the report be noted.

(The meeting closed at 8.20pm)