

TEMPO - TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS

Date 7.11.12

DATA SHEET AND DECISION GUIDE (See guidance notes)

Ref.

<u>Location</u>	<u>Species</u>	<u>Tree no./ Group no.</u>	<u>Age class</u>	<u>Surveyor</u>	<u>Inspection type</u>
Doctors Surgery, Back Lane, Market Bosworth.	Common alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>) Syn. Black alder	T1	Young	JS	Full

Amenity Assessment

a) Condition

		<u>Points</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Good	<i>Highly suitable</i>	5	3	
Fair	<i>Suitable</i>	3		
Poor	<i>Unlikely to be suitable</i>	1		
Dead	<i>Unsuitable</i>	0		
Dying / Dangerous*	<i>Unsuitable</i>	0		

(* Relates to existing context. Trees with severe irremediable defects.)

(Where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point.)

b) Life expectancy/Retention span

> 100 yrs.	<i>Highly suitable</i>	5	2	
40 - 100 yrs.	<i>Very suitable</i>	4		
20 - 40 yrs.	<i>Suitable</i>	2		
10 - 20 yrs.	<i>Just suitable</i>	1		
< 10 yrs.*	<i>Unsuitable</i>	0		

(*Inc. trees that have or soon will outgrow their context.)

c) Public visibility

Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	<i>Highly suitable</i>	5	4	
Medium or large trees clearly visible to the public	<i>Suitable</i>	4		
Medium or large trees with limited view only	<i>Suitable</i>	3		
Small, medium or large trees visible only with difficulty	<i>Barely suitable</i>	2		
Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	<i>Probably unsuitable</i>	1		

d) Other factors

(Trees must have scored 7 or more points, with no zero score, to qualify)

Veteran tree or principal component of important arboricultural feature	5
Tree groups or member of tree groups important for their cohesion	4
Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	3
Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	2
Trees with none of the above features	1

9 :Sub total

1

Expediency

(Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify)

Immediate threat to tree(s)	5
Foreseeable threat to tree(s)	3
Perceived threat to tree(s)	2
Precautionary only	1

10 :Sub total

3

Decision Guide

Definitely merits a TPO	15+
TPO defensible	11-14
Does not merit TPO	7-10
TPO indefensible	1-6
Do not apply TPO	Any 0

13 :Total score

RECOMMENDATION: Confirm the TPO

DECISION: