

1.1 Background

The Authority is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low-risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Authority's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Authority's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Authority, essentially the longer-term cash flow planning, to ensure that it can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer-term cash may involve arranging long or short-term loans or using longer-term cash flow surpluses. On occasion, when it is prudent and economic, any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet risk or cost objectives.

The contribution the treasury management function makes to the Authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget. Since cash balances generally result from reserves and balances, it is paramount to ensure adequate security of the sums invested, as a loss of principal will in effect result in a loss to the General Fund Balance.

CIPFA defines treasury management as:

"The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, including its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

Whilst any commercial initiatives or loans to third parties will impact on the treasury function, these activities are generally classed as non-treasury activities, (arising usually from capital expenditure), and are separate from the day-to-day treasury management activities.

1.2.1 Capital Strategy

The CIPFA 2021 Prudential and Treasury Management Codes require all local authorities to prepare a Capital Strategy report which will provide the following: -

- a high-level long-term overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services
- an overview of how the associated risk is managed
- the implications for future financial sustainability

The aim of the strategy is to ensure that all the Authority's elected members fully understand the overall long-term policy objectives and resulting Capital Strategy requirements, governance procedures and risk appetite.

1.2.2 Treasury Management reporting

The Council is currently required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main treasury reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

- a. **Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy** (this report) - The first, and most important report is forward looking and covers:
 - the capital plans, (including prudential indicators);
 - a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy, (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
 - the treasury management strategy, (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised), including treasury indicators; and
 - an investment strategy, (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

- b. **An annual treasury report** – This will be a backward-looking review document and provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

Scrutiny

The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised before being recommended to the Council. Finance & Performance committee receive quarterly updates on the Council borrowing and lending.

1.2.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2023/24

The strategy for 2023/24 covers two main areas:

Capital issues

- the capital expenditure plans and the associated prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

Treasury management issues

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- the policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, DLUHC Investment Guidance, DLUHC MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code.

1.2.4 Training

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This especially applies to members responsible for scrutiny. The training needs of treasury management officers are periodically reviewed.

1.3 Treasury management consultants

The Council uses Link Group, Treasury solutions as its external treasury management advisors.

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon the services of our external service providers. All decisions will be undertaken with regards to all available information, including, but not solely, our treasury advisers.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The Council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented and subjected to regular review.

THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2023/24– 2025/26

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

1.4 Capital expenditure

This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

| Capital expenditure £000 | 2021/22 Actual | 2022/23 Estimate | 2023/24 Estimate | 2024/25 Estimate | 2025/26 Estimate |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Non-HRA | 3,252 | 10,033 | 25,258 | 6,568 | 2,014 |
| HRA | 9,405 | 7,066 | 13,481 | 9,780 | 6,106 |
| Total | 12,657 | 17,099 | 38,739 | 16,348 | 8,120 |

Other long-term liabilities - The above financing need excludes other long-term liabilities, such as PFI and leasing arrangements that already include borrowing instruments.

The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need.

| Financing of capital expenditure £000 | 2021/22 Actual | 2022/23 Estimate | 2023/24 Estimate | 2024/25 Estimate | 2025/26 Estimate |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Capital receipts | 1,747 | 1,781 | 3,454 | 1,694 | 187 |
| Capital grants | 2,031 | 3,996 | 3,709 | 2,581 | 1,211 |
| Capital reserves | 8,274 | 6,024 | 10,257 | 4,985 | 4,984 |
| Revenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net financing need for the year | 605 | 5,298 | 21,319 | 7,088 | 1,738 |
|--|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|

1.5 The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's indebtedness and so its underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for through a revenue or capital resource, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the indebtedness in line with each asset's life, and so charges the economic consumption of capital assets as they are used.

The CFR includes any other long-term liabilities (e.g. finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the Council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility by the lease provider and so the Council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The Council currently has £8.660m as at the 1st April 2022 of such schemes within the CFR.

The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

| | 2021/22 Actual £000 | 2022/23 Estimate £000 | 2023/24 Estimate £000 | 2024/25 Estimate £000 | 2025/26 Estimate £000 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Capital Financing Requirement | | | | | |
| CFR – non housing | 34,394 | 35,047 | 54,451 | 59,409 | 59,600 |
| 39CFR – housing | 70,320 | 70,320 | 70,320 | 70,320 | 70,320 |
| Total CFR | 104,714 | 105,367 | 124,771 | 129,729 | 129,920 |
| Movement in CFR | (720) | 653 | 19,404 | 4,958 | 191 |

| Movement in CFR represented by | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net financing need for the year (above) | 605 | 5,298 | 21,319 | 7,088 | 1,738 |
| Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements | (1,325) | (4,645) | (1,915) | (2,130) | (1,547) |
| Movement in CFR | (720) | 653 | 19,404 | 4,958 | 191 |

1.6 Core funds and expected investment balances

The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.). Detailed below are estimates of the year-end balances for each resource and anticipated day-to-day cash flow balances.

| Year End Resources £000 | 2021/22 Actual £000 | 2022/23 Estimate £000 | 2023/24 Estimate £000 | 2024/25 Estimate £000 | 2025/26 Estimate £000 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Fund balances / reserves | 18,975 | 14,326 | 7,595 | 7,258 | 7,270 |
| Capital receipts | 9,917 | 6,360 | 3,931 | 3,262 | 4,198 |
| Provisions | 629 | 650 | 650 | 650 | 650 |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Other | 4,212 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Total core funds | 33,733 | 23,336 | 13,676 | 12,670 | 13,618 |
| Working capital* | 1,800 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Under/over borrowing | 28,927 | 25,718 | 27,689 | 29,476 | 31,604 |
| Additional Borrowing | 0 | (7,270) | (15,524) | (18,316) | (19,496) |
| Expected Investments | 3,006 | 3,388 | 11 | 10 | 10 |

*Working capital balances shown are estimated year-end; these may be higher mid-year

1.7 Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

DLUHC regulations have been issued which require the full Council to approve **an MRP Statement** in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision. The Council is recommended to approve the following MRP Statement

For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy will be:

To write off the existing balance over 37 years on a straight line basis (i.e. write the original debt off over 50 years)

From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including PFI and finance leases) the MRP policy will be:

- **Asset life method** – MRP will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the regulations (this option must be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction) (option 3);

These options provide for a reduction in the borrowing need over approximately the asset's life.

There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made (although there are transitional arrangements in place).

Repayments included in annual PFI or finance leases are applied as MRP.

MRP Overpayments - A change introduced by the revised DLUHC MRP Guidance was the allowance that any charges made over the statutory minimum revenue provision (MRP), voluntary revenue provision or overpayments, can, if needed, be reclaimed in later years if deemed necessary or prudent. In order for these sums to be reclaimed for use in the budget, this policy must disclose the cumulative overpayment made each year. Up until the 31 March 2021 the total VRP overpayment was £0.117m. Where VRP has been made in prior years and reserves are available to pay off debt VRP will be reversed.

2 BORROWING

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 1 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity and the Council's capital strategy. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

2.1 Current portfolio position

The overall treasury management portfolio as at 31 March 2022 and for the position as at 31st December 2022 are shown below for both borrowing and investments.

| Treasury Portfolio | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Actual | Actual | Current | Current |
| | 31.03.22 | 31.03.22 | 31.12.22 | 31.12.22 |
| | £'000 | % | £'000 | % |
| Treasury Investments | | | | |
| Banks | 8,908 | 45% | 19,317 | 63% |
| Building Societies - Unrated | 8,500 | 43% | 9,500 | 31% |
| Local Authorities | 2,500 | 12% | 2,000 | 6% |
| Money Market Fund | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total managed in house | 19,908 | 100% | 30,817 | 100% |
| | | | | |
| Treasury external borrowings | | | | |
| PWLB | 70,069 | 100% | 73,934 | 99% |
| NWLDC | 0 | 0% | 750 | 1% |
| Total external Borrowings | 70,069 | 100% | 74,684 | 100% |
| | | | | |
| Net treasury investments/(borrowing) | (49,639) | 29.15% | (41,772) | 40.38% |

The Council's forward projections for borrowing are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt, against the underlying capital borrowing need, (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

| £m | 2021/22 Actual £000 | 2022/23 Estimate £000 | 2023/24 Estimate £000 | 2024/25 Estimate £000 | 2025/26 Estimate £000 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| External Debt | | | | | |
| Debt at 1 April | 79,196 | 75,787 | 79,649 | 97,082 | 100,253 |
| Expected change in Debt | (2,941) | 2,357 | 18,378 | 4,147 | (1,204) |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Other long-term liabilities (OLTL) | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expected change in OLTL | (468) | (495) | (945) | (976) | (733) |
| Actual gross debt at 31 March | 75,787 | 79,649 | 97,082 | 100,253 | 98,316 |
| The Capital Financing Requirement | 104,714 | 105,367 | 124,771 | 129,729 | 129,920 |
| Under / (over) borrowing | 28,927 | 25,718 | 27,689 | 29,476 | 31,604 |

Within the range of prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2022/23 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.

The Head of Finance reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

2.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

The operational boundary. This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

| Operational boundary £000 | 2022/23 Estimate | 2023/24 Estimate | 2024/25 Estimate | 2025/26 Estimate |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Debt | 96,707 | 114,607 | 120,510 | 121,677 |
| Other long term liabilities | 8,660 | 10,164 | 9,219 | 8,243 |
| Total | 105,367 | 124,771 | 129,729 | 129,920 |

The authorised limit for external debt. This is a key prudential indicator and represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a legal limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

1. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
2. The Council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

| Authorised limit £000 | 2022/23 Estimate | 2023/24 Estimate | 2024/25 Estimate | 2025/26 Estimate |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Debt | 99,707 | 117,607 | 123,510 | 124,677 |
| Other long term liabilities | 8,660 | 10,164 | 9,219 | 8,243 |
| Total | 108,367 | 127,771 | 132,729 | 132,920 |

2.3 Prospects for interest rates

The Authority has appointed Link Group as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Authority to formulate a view on interest rates. Link provided the following forecasts on 19.12.22. These are forecasts for certainty rates, gilt yields plus 80 bps.

| | Dec 22 | Mar 23 | Jun 23 | Sep 23 | Dec 23 | Mar 24 | Jun 24 | Sep 24 | Dec 24 | Mar 25 | Jun 25 | Sep 25 | Dec 25 |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| BANK RATE | 3.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| 3 Months | 3.60 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.30 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.80 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| 6 Months | 4.20 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 3.10 | 3.00 | 2.90 | 2.60 | 2.60 |
| 12 Months | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.20 | 3.10 | 3.00 | 2.70 | 2.70 |
| 5 Yr PWLB | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.40 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.10 |
| 10 Yr PWLB | 4.30 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.40 | 3.30 | 3.30 |
| 25 Yr PWLB | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.70 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| 50 Yr PWLB | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 3.90 | 3.80 | 3.70 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.20 |

Our central forecast for interest rates was updated on 19 December and reflected a view that the MPC would be keen to further demonstrate its anti-inflation credentials by delivering a succession of rate increases. Bank Rate stands at 3.5% currently but is expected to reach a peak of 4.5% in 2023.

Further down the road, we anticipate the Bank of England will be keen to loosen monetary policy when the worst of the inflationary pressures are behind us – but that timing will be one of fine judgment: cut too soon, and inflationary pressures may well build up further; cut too late and any downturn or recession may be prolonged.

The CPI measure of inflation looks to have peaked at 11.1% in Q4 2022 (November 2022 10.7%). Despite the cost-of-living squeeze that is still taking shape, the Bank will want to see evidence that wages are not spiralling upwards in what is evidently a very tight labour market.

Regarding the plan to sell £10bn of gilts back into the market each quarter (Quantitative Tightening), this has started and will focus on the short, medium and longer end of the curve in equal measure, now that the short-lived effects of the Truss/Kwarteng unfunded dash for growth policy are firmly in the rear-view mirror.

In the upcoming months, our forecasts will be guided not only by economic data releases and clarifications from the MPC over its monetary policies and the Government over its fiscal policies, but the on-going conflict between Russia and Ukraine. (More recently, the heightened tensions between China/Taiwan/US also have the potential to have a wider and negative economic impact.)

PWLB RATES

- The yield curve movements have become less volatile of late and PWLB 5 to 50 years Certainty Rates are, generally, in the range of 4.10% to 4.80%.
- We view the markets as having built in, already, nearly all the effects on gilt yields of the likely increases in Bank Rate and the elevated inflation outlook.

The balance of risks to the UK economy: -

- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is to the downside.

Downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates include: -

- **Labour and supply shortages** prove more enduring and disruptive and depress economic activity (accepting that in the near-term this is also an upside risk to inflation and, thus, rising gilt yields).
- **The Bank of England** acts too quickly, or too far, over the next year to raise Bank Rate and causes UK economic growth, and increases in inflation, to be weaker than we currently anticipate.
- **UK / EU trade arrangements** – if there was a major impact on trade flows and financial services due to complications or lack of co-operation in sorting out significant remaining issues.
- **Geopolitical risks**, for example in Ukraine/Russia, China/Taiwan/US, Iran, North Korea and Middle Eastern countries, which could lead to increasing safe-haven flows.

Upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates: -

- The **Bank of England is too slow** in its pace and strength of increases in Bank Rate and, therefore, allows inflationary pressures to build up too strongly and for a longer period within the UK economy, which then necessitates Bank Rate staying higher for longer than we currently project or even necessitates a further series of increases in Bank Rate.
- **The Government** acts too quickly to cut taxes and/or increases expenditure in light of the cost-of-living squeeze.
- **The pound weakens** because of a lack of confidence in the UK Government's fiscal policies, resulting in investors pricing in a risk premium for holding UK sovereign debt.
- Longer term **US treasury yields** rise strongly and pull gilt yields up higher than currently forecast.
- Projected **gilt issuance, inclusive of natural maturities and QT**, could be too much for the markets to comfortably digest without higher yields consequently.

Borrowing advice: Our long-term (beyond 10 years) forecast for Bank Rate stands at 2.5%. As all PWLB certainty rates are currently above this level, borrowing strategies will need to be reviewed in that context. Better value can generally be obtained at the shorter end of the curve and short-dated fixed LA to LA monies should be considered. Temporary borrowing rates are likely, however, to remain near Bank Rate and may also prove attractive whilst the market waits for inflation, and therein gilt yields, to drop back later in 2023.

While this authority will not be able to avoid borrowing to finance new capital expenditure, to replace maturing debt and the rundown of reserves there will be a cost of carry, (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns), to any new borrowing that causes a temporary increase in cash balances.

2.4 Borrowing strategy

The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need, (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is still an issue that needs to be considered.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2023/24 treasury operations. The Head of Finance will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances:

- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a sharp FALL in borrowing rates, then borrowing may be postponed.*
- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in borrowing rates than that currently forecast, perhaps arising from an acceleration in the rate of increase in central rates in the USA and UK, an increase in world economic activity, or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised. Most likely, fixed rate funding will be drawn whilst interest rates are lower than they are projected to be in the next few years.*

Any decisions will be reported in line with the Councils financial procedure rules

2.5 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

2.6 Debt rescheduling

Rescheduling of current borrowing in our debt portfolio is unlikely to occur as there is still a very large difference between premature redemption rates and new borrowing rates,.

If rescheduling was done, it will be reported to Council, at the earliest meeting following its action.

2.7 New financial institutions as a source of borrowing and / or types of borrowing

Currently the PWLB Certainty Rate is set at gilts + 80 basis points. However, consideration may still need to be given to sourcing funding from the following sources for the following reasons:

- Local authorities (primarily shorter dated maturities out to 3 years or so – generally still cheaper than the Certainty Rate).
- Financial institutions (primarily insurance companies and pension funds but also some banks, out of forward dates where the objective is to avoid a “cost of carry” or to achieve refinancing certainty over the next few years).

Our advisors will keep us informed as to the relative merits of each of these alternative funding sources.

2.8 Approved Sources of Long and Short term Borrowing

Our current available sources of borrowing are summarised below:

| On Balance Sheet | Fixed | Variable |
|--|--------------|-----------------|
| PWLB | ● | ● |
| Municipal bond agency | ● | ● |
| Local authorities | ● | ● |
| Banks | ● | ● |
| Pension funds | ● | ● |
| Insurance companies | ● | ● |
| UK Infrastructure Bank | ● | ● |
| Market (long-term) | ● | ● |
| Market (temporary) | ● | ● |
| Market (LOBOs) | ● | ● |
| Stock issues | ● | ● |
| Local temporary | ● | ● |
| Local Bonds | ● | |
| Local authority bills | ● | ● |
| Overdraft | | ● |
| Negotiable Bonds | ● | ● |
| Internal (capital receipts & revenue balances) | ● | ● |
| Commercial Paper | ● | |
| Medium Term Notes | ● | |
| Finance leases | ● | ● |

3 ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

3.1 Investment policy – management of risk

The Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC - this was formerly the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG)) and CIPFA have extended the meaning of 'investments' to include both financial and non-financial investments. This report deals solely with treasury (financial) investments, (as managed by the treasury management team). Non-financial investments, essentially the purchase of income yielding assets and service investments, are covered in the Capital Strategy, (a separate report).

The Council's investment policy has regard to the following: -

- DLUHC's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance")
- CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2021 ("the Code")
- CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2021

The Council's investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield, (return). The Council will aim to achieve the optimum return (yield) on its investments commensurate with proper levels of security and liquidity and with the Council's risk appetite. In the current economic climate, it is considered appropriate to keep investments short term to cover cash flow needs. However, where appropriate (from an internal as well as external perspective), the Council will also consider the value available in periods up to 12 months with high credit rated financial institutions, as well as wider range fund options.

The above guidance from the DLUHC and CIPFA places a high priority on the management of risk. This authority has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means: -

1. Minimum acceptable **credit criteria** are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the short term and long-term ratings.
2. **Other information:** ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as "**credit default swaps**" and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.
3. **Other information sources** used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the financial sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.
4. This authority has defined the list of **types of investment instruments** that the treasury management team are authorised to use. There are two lists in appendix 5.4 under the categories of 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments.

- **Specified investments** are those with a high level of credit quality and subject to a maturity limit of one year or have less than a year left to run to maturity if originally they were classified as being non-specified investments solely due to the maturity period exceeding one year.
 - **Non-specified investments** are those with less high credit quality, may be for periods in excess of one year, and/or are more complex instruments which require greater consideration by members and officers before being authorised for use.
5. **Non-specified and loan investment limits.** The Council has determined that it will set a limit to the maximum exposure of the total treasury management investment portfolio to non-specified treasury management investments of Y%.
 6. **Lending limits**, (amounts and maturity), for each counterparty will be set through applying the matrix table in paragraph 4.2.
 7. **Transaction limits** are set for each type of investment in 4.2.
 8. This authority will set a limit for its investments which are invested for **longer than 365 days**, (see paragraph 4.4).
 9. Investments will only be placed with counterparties from countries with a specified minimum **sovereign rating**, (see paragraph 4.3).
 10. This authority has engaged **external consultants**, (see paragraph 1.5), to provide expert advice on how to optimise an appropriate balance of security, liquidity and yield, given the risk appetite of this authority in the context of the expected level of cash balances and need for liquidity throughout the year.
 11. All investments will be denominated in **sterling**.
 12. As a result of the change in accounting standards for 2022/23 under IFRS 9, this authority will consider the implications of investment instruments which could result in an adverse movement in the value of the amount invested and resultant charges at the end of the year to the General Fund. (In November 2018, the MHCLG, concluded a consultation for a temporary override to allow English local authorities time to adjust their portfolio of all pooled investments by announcing a statutory override to delay implementation of IFRS 9 for five years ending 31st March 2023. At the current juncture it has not been determined whether a further extension to the over-ride will be agreed by Government.

However, this authority will also pursue **value for money** in treasury management and will monitor the yield from investment income against appropriate benchmarks for investment performance, (see paragraph 4.5). Regular monitoring of investment performance will be carried out during the year.

Changes in risk management policy from last year.

The above criteria are unchanged from last year.

3.2 Creditworthiness policy

The primary principle governing the Council's investment criteria is the security of its investments, although the yield or return on the investment is also a key consideration. After this main principle, the Council will ensure that:

- It maintains a policy covering both the categories of investment types it will invest in, criteria for choosing investment counterparties with adequate security, and monitoring their security. This is set out in the specified and non-specified investment sections below; and
- It has sufficient liquidity in its investments. For this purpose, it will set out procedures for determining the maximum periods for which funds may prudently be committed. These procedures also apply to the Council's prudential indicators covering the maximum principal sums invested.

The Head of Finance will maintain a counterparty list in compliance with the following criteria and will revise the criteria and submit them to Council for approval as necessary. These criteria are separate to that which determines which types of investment instrument are either specified or non-specified as it provides an overall pool of counterparties considered high quality which the Council may use, rather than defining what types of investment instruments are to be used.

Credit rating information is supplied by the Link Group, our treasury advisors, on all active counterparties that comply with the criteria below. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria would be omitted from the counterparty (dealing) list. Any rating changes, rating Watches (notification of a likely change), rating Outlooks (notification of the longer-term bias outside the central rating view) are provided to officers almost immediately after they occur and this information is considered before dealing. For instance, a negative rating Watch applying to counterparty at the minimum Council criteria will be suspended from use, with all others being reviewed in light of market conditions.

The criteria for providing a pool of high-quality investment counterparties, (both specified and non-specified investments) is:

- Banks 1 - good credit quality – the Council will only use banks which:
 - i. are UK banks; and/or
 - ii. are non-UK and domiciled in a country which has a minimum sovereign Long Term rating of AA-
 and have, as a minimum, the following Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's credit ratings (where rated):
 - i. Short Term – F1
 - ii. Long Term – A-
- Banks 2 – Part nationalised UK bank – Royal Bank of Scotland ring-fenced operations. This bank can be included provided they continue to be part nationalised or meet the ratings in Banks 1 above.
- Banks 3 – The Council's own banker for transactional purposes if the bank falls below the above criteria, although in this case balances will be minimised in both monetary size and time invested.
- Bank subsidiary and treasury operation -. The Council will use these where the parent bank has provided an appropriate guarantee or has the necessary ratings outlined above

- Building Societies. The Council will use all societies which:
 - i. Meet the ratings for banks outlined above;
 - or
 - ii. Have assets in excess of £500m;
- Money Market Funds (MMFs) CNAV LNAV VNAV – £11m
- UK Government (including gilts, Treasury Bills and the DMADF)
- Local authorities, parish councils etc
- Housing associations
- Supranational institutions
- Property funds and corporate Bonds- We may consider these funds if they meet the creditworthiness criteria. No decision will be made on the use of these funds without the Council approval.

Use of additional information other than credit ratings. Additional requirements under the Code require the Council to supplement credit rating information. Whilst the above criteria relies primarily on the application of credit ratings to provide a pool of appropriate counterparties for officers to use, additional operational market information will be applied before making any specific investment decision from the agreed pool of counterparties. This additional market information (for example Credit Default Swaps, rating Watches/Outlooks) will be applied to compare the relative security of differing investment opportunities.

Time and monetary limits applying to investments. The time and monetary limits for institutions on the Council's counterparty list are as follows (these will cover both specified and non-specified investments):

| | Fitch Long term Rating (or equivalent) | Money and/or % Limit | Transaction limit | Time Limit |
|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Banks 1 higher quality | AA- | £15m | £10m | 2 yr |
| Banks 1 medium quality | A- | £13m | £7m | 2 yr |
| Banks 1 lower quality | BBB | £11m | £5m | 1 yr |
| Banks 2 – part nationalised | N/A | £11m | D £6m | 1 yr |
| Limit 3 category – Council's banker (not meeting Banks 1) | - | £13m | £10m | 1 yr |
| Building Societies | - | £15m | £10m | 2 yr |
| Other institutions limit* | - | £8m | £5m | 1 yr |

| DMADF | UK sovereign rating | Unlimited | Unlimited | 1 Yr |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Local authorities | N/A | £15m | £10m | 5 yr |
| Housing associations | XXX | £6m | £3m | 2 yr |
| Money Market Funds | AAA | £20m | £11m | liquid |

The proposed criteria for specified and non-specified investments are shown in Appendix 5.4 for approval.

Creditworthiness.

Significant levels of downgrades to Short and Long-Term credit ratings have not materialised since the crisis in March 2020. In the main, where they did change, any alterations were limited to Outlooks. However, more recently the UK sovereign debt rating has been placed on Negative Outlook by the three major rating agencies in the wake of the Truss/Kwarteng unfunded tax-cuts policy. Although the Sunak/Hunt government has calmed markets, the outcome of the rating agency reviews is unknown at present, but it is possible the UK sovereign debt rating will be downgraded.

CDS prices

Although bank CDS prices, (these are market indicators of credit risk), spiked upwards during the days of the Truss/Kwarteng government, they have returned to more average levels since then. However, sentiment can easily shift, so it will remain important to undertake continual monitoring of all aspects of risk and return in the current circumstances. Link monitor CDS prices as part of their creditworthiness service to local authorities and the Authority has access to this information via its Link-provided Passport portal.

3.3 Other limits

Due care will be taken to consider the exposure of the Council's total investment portfolio to non-specified investments, countries, groups and sectors.

- a) **Non-specified treasury management investment limit.** The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure of treasury management investments to non-specified treasury management investments as being 5% of the total treasury management investment portfolio.
- b) **Country limit.** The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from the UK and from countries with a **minimum sovereign credit rating of AA-** from Fitch or equivalent. The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown in Appendix 5.6. This list will be added to, or deducted from, by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.
- c) **Other limits.** In addition:
 - no more than 10% will be placed with any non-UK country at any time;
 - limits in place above will apply to a group of companies;
 - sector limits will be monitored regularly for appropriateness.

3.4 Investment strategy

In-house funds. Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months). Greater returns are usually obtainable by investing for longer periods. While most cash balances are required in order to manage the ups and downs of cash flow where cash sums can be identified that could be invested for longer periods, the value to be obtained from longer term investments will be carefully assessed.

- If it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to rise significantly within the time horizon being considered, then consideration will be given to keeping most investments as being short term or variable.
- Conversely, if it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to fall within that time period, consideration will be given to locking in higher rates currently obtainable, for longer periods.

Investment returns expectations.

The current forecast shown in paragraph 3.3, includes a forecast for Bank Rate to reach 4.5% in Q2 2023.

The suggested budgeted investment earnings rates for returns on investments placed for periods up to about three months during each financial year are as follows: -

| Average earnings in each year | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| 2022/23 (remainder) | 3.90% |
| 2023/24 | 4.25% |
| 2024/25 | 3.30% |
| 2025/26 | 2.60% |
| 2026/27 | 2.50% |
| Years 6 to 10 | 2.80% |
| Years 10+ | 2.80% |

Investment treasury indicator and limit - total principal funds invested for greater than 365 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The Council is asked to approve the following treasury indicator and limit:

| Upper limit for principal sums invested for longer than 365 days | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| £m | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 |
| Principal sums invested for longer than 365 days | £2,000,000 | £2,000,000 | £2,000,000 |

3.5 Investment performance / risk benchmarking

These benchmarks are simple guides to maximum risk, so they may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. The purpose of the benchmark is that officers will monitor the current and trend position and amend the operational strategy to manage risk as conditions change. Any breach of the benchmarks will be reported, with supporting reasons in the mid-year or Annual Report.

Security - The Council's maximum security risk benchmark for the current portfolio, when compared to these historic default tables, is:

- **0.05% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio.**

Liquidity – in respect of this area the Council seeks to maintain:

- Bank overdraft - £1m if required.
- Liquid short term deposits of at least £1m available with a week's notice.
- Weighted average life benchmark is expected to be 1 month, with a maximum of 1 year.

Yield - local measures of yield benchmarks are

- Investments – internal returns above the 7-day SONIA compounded rate

3.6 End of year investment report

At the end of the financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report to FAP.

APPENDICES

1. Prudential and treasury indicators
2. Interest rate forecasts
3. Economic background
4. Treasury management practice 1 – credit and counterparty risk management (option 1)
5. Approved countries for investments
6. Treasury management scheme of delegation
7. The treasury management role of the section 151 officer

1 THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL AND TREASURY INDICATORS 2022/23 – 2025/26

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

1.1 Capital expenditure

| Capital expenditure £000 | 2021/22 Actual | 2022/23 Estimate | 2023/24 Estimate | 2024/25 Estimate | 2025/26 Estimate |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Corporate & Support Services | 2,247 | 6,553 | 22,900 | 5,340 | 787 |
| Community Services | 1,005 | 3,480 | 2,358 | 1,228 | 1,228 |
| Non-HRA | 3,252 | 10,033 | 25,258 | 6,568 | 2,015 |
| HRA | 9,405 | 7,066 | 13,123 | 9,780 | 6,106 |
| Total | 12,657 | 17,099 | 38,381 | 16,348 | 8,121 |

1.2 Affordability prudential indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

a. Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital, (borrowing and other long-term obligation costs net of investment income), against the net revenue stream.

| % | 2022/23 Estimate | 2023/24 Estimate | 2024/25 Estimate | 2025/26 Estimate |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Non-HRA | 4.48 | 9.69 | 10.83 | 10.68 |
| HRA | 31.50 | 31.65 | 28.46 | 28.45 |
| Total | 35.98 | 41.34 | 39.29 | 39.13 |

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in this budget report.

1.3 Maturity structure of borrowing

Maturity structure of borrowing. These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.

The Council is asked to approve the following treasury indicators and limits:

| Maturity structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2022/23 | | |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|
| | % | Amount £'000 |
| Under 12 months | 5% | 3,691 |
| 12 months to 2 years | 9% | 7,009 |
| 2 years to 5 years | 14% | 10,513 |
| 5 years to 10 years | 23% | 17,521 |
| 10 years to 20 years | 37% | 27,650 |
| 20 years to 30 years | 4% | 3,300 |
| 30 years to 40 years | 7% | 5,000 |
| | 100% | 74,684 |

1.4. Control of interest rate exposure

This is controlled via creditworthiness and limits in 3.2 and 3.3 above.

2 INTEREST RATES FORECASTS 2022-2025

This appendix is in a separate downloadable file.

PWLB forecasts are based on PWLB certainty rates.

| | Dec 22 | Mar 23 | Jun 23 | Sep 23 | Dec 23 | Mar 24 | Jun 24 | Sep 24 | Dec 24 | Mar 25 | Jun 25 | Sep 25 | Dec 25 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| BANK RATE | 3.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| 3 Months | 3.60 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.30 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.80 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| 6 Months | 4.20 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 3.10 | 3.00 | 2.90 | 2.60 | 2.60 |
| 12 Months | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.20 | 3.10 | 3.00 | 2.70 | 2.70 |
| 5 Yr PWLB | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.40 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.10 |
| 10 Yr PWLB | 4.30 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.40 | 3.30 | 3.30 |
| 25 Yr PWLB | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.70 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| 50 Yr PWLB | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 3.90 | 3.80 | 3.70 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.20 |

3 ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

Against a backdrop of stubborn inflationary pressures, the easing of Covid restrictions in most developed economies, the Russian invasion of Ukraine, and a range of different UK Government policies, it is no surprise that UK interest rates have been volatile right across the curve, from Bank Rate through to 50-year gilt yields, for all of 2022.

Market commentators' misplaced optimism around inflation has been the root cause of the rout in the bond markets with, for example, UK, EZ and US 10-year yields all rising by over 200bps since the turn of the year. The table below provides a snapshot of the conundrum facing central banks: inflation is elevated but labour markets are extra-ordinarily tight, making it an issue of fine judgment as to how far monetary policy needs to tighten.

| | UK | Eurozone | US |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Bank Rate | 3.5% | 2.0% | 4.25%-4.50% |
| GDP | -0.2%q/q Q3 (2.4%/y/y) | +0.2%q/q Q3 (2.1%/y/y) | 2.6% Q3 Annualised |
| Inflation | 10.7%/y/y (Nov) | 10.1%/y/y (Nov) | 7.1%/y/y (Nov) |
| Unemployment Rate | 3.7% (Oct) | 6.5% (Oct) | 3.7% (Nov) |

Q2 of 2022 saw UK GDP revised upwards to +0.2% q/q, but this was quickly reversed in the third quarter, albeit some of the fall in GDP can be placed at the foot of the extra Bank Holiday in the wake of the Queen's passing. Nevertheless, CPI inflation has picked up to what should be a peak reading of 11.1% in October, although with further increases in the gas and electricity price caps pencilled in for April 2023, and the cap potentially rising from an average of £2,500 to £3,000 per household, there is still a possibility that inflation will spike higher again before dropping back slowly through 2023.

The UK unemployment rate fell to a 48-year low of 3.6%, and this despite a net migration increase of circa 500k. The fact is that with many economic participants registered as long-term sick, the UK labour force actually shrunk by circa 500k in the year to June. Without an increase in the labour force participation rate, it is hard to see how the UK economy will be able to grow its way to prosperity, and with average wage increases running at over 6% the MPC will be concerned that wage inflation will prove just as sticky as major supply-side shocks to food and energy that have endured since Russia's invasion of Ukraine on 22nd February 2022.

Throughout Q3 Bank Rate increased, finishing the quarter at 2.25% (an increase of 1%). Q4 has seen rates rise to 3.5% in December and the market expects Bank Rate to hit 4.5% by May 2023.

Following a Conservative Party leadership contest, Liz Truss became Prime Minister for a tumultuous seven weeks that ran through September and October. The markets did not like the unfunded tax-cutting and heavy spending policies put forward by her Chancellor, Kwasi Kwarteng, and their reign lasted barely seven weeks before being replaced by Prime Minister Rishi Sunak and Chancellor Jeremy Hunt. Their Autumn Statement of 17th November gave rise to a net £55bn fiscal tightening, although much of the "heavy lifting" has been left for the next Parliament to deliver. However, the

markets liked what they heard, and UK gilt yields have almost completely reversed the increases seen under the previous tenants of No10/11 Downing Street.

Globally, though, all the major economies are expected to struggle in the near term. The fall below 50 in the composite Purchasing Manager Indices for the UK, US, EZ and China all point to at least one, if not more, quarters of GDP contraction. In November, the MPC projected eight quarters of negative growth for the UK lasting throughout 2023 and 2024, but with Bank Rate set to peak at lower levels than previously priced in by the markets and the fiscal tightening deferred to some extent, it is not clear that things will be as bad as first anticipated by the Bank.

The £ has strengthened of late, recovering from a record low of \$1.035, on the Monday following the Truss government's "fiscal event", to \$1.22. Notwithstanding the £'s better run of late, 2023 is likely to see a housing correction of some magnitude as fixed-rate mortgages have moved above 5% and affordability has been squeezed despite proposed Stamp Duty cuts remaining in place.

CENTRAL BANK CONCERNS – DECEMBER 2022

In December, the Fed decided to push up US rates by 0.5% to a range of 4.25% to 4.5%, whilst the MPC followed by raising Bank Rate from 3% to 3.5%, in line with market expectations. EZ rates have also increased to 2% with further tightening in the pipeline.

Having said that, the sentiment expressed in the press conferences in the US and the UK were very different. In the US, Fed Chair, Jerome Powell, stated that rates will be elevated and stay higher for longer than markets had expected. Governor Bailey, here in the UK, said the opposite and explained that the two economies are positioned very differently so you should not, therefore, expect the same policy or messaging.

Regarding UK market expectations, although they now expect Bank Rate to peak within a lower range of 4.5% - 4.75%, caution is advised as the Bank of England Quarterly Monetary Policy Reports have carried a dovish message over the course of the last year, only for the Bank to have to play catch-up as the inflationary data has proven stronger than expected.

In addition, the Bank's central message that GDP will fall for eight quarters starting with Q3 2022 may prove to be a little pessimistic. Will the £160bn excess savings accumulated by households through the Covid lockdowns provide a spending buffer for the economy – at least to a degree? Ultimately, however, it will not only be inflation data but also employment data that will mostly impact the decision-making process, although any softening in the interest rate outlook in the US may also have an effect (just as, conversely, greater tightening may also).

A more detailed Economic background is available on request.

4 TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (TMP1) – CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY RISK MANAGEMENT

The MHCLG issued Investment Guidance in 2018, and this forms the structure of the Council's policy below. These guidelines do not apply to either trust funds or pension funds which operate under a different regulatory regime.

The key intention of the Guidance is to maintain the current requirement for councils to invest prudently, and that priority is given to security and liquidity before yield. In order to facilitate this objective the guidance requires this Council to have regard to the CIPFA publication Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes. This Council adopted the Code on 01/04/2018 and will apply its principles to all investment activity. In accordance with the Code, the Head of Finance has produced its treasury management practices (TMPs). This part, TMP 1(1), covering investment counterparty policy requires approval each year.

Annual investment strategy - The key requirements of both the Code and the investment guidance are to set an annual investment strategy, as part of its annual treasury strategy for the following year, covering the identification and approval of following:

- The strategy guidelines for choosing and placing investments, particularly non-specified investments.
- The principles to be used to determine the maximum periods for which funds can be committed.
- Specified investments that the Council will use. These are high security (i.e. high credit rating, although this is defined by the Council, and no guidelines are given), and high liquidity investments in sterling and with a maturity of no more than a year.
- Non-specified investments, clarifying the greater risk implications, identifying the general types of investment that may be used and a limit to the overall amount of various categories that can be held at any time.

The investment policy proposed for the Council is:

Strategy guidelines – The main strategy guidelines are contained in the body of the treasury strategy statement.

Specified investments – These investments are sterling investments of not more than one-year maturity, or those which could be for a longer period but where the Council has the right to be repaid within 12 months if it wishes. They also include investments which were originally classed as being non-specified investments, but which would have been classified as specified investments apart from originally being for a period longer than 12 months, once the remaining period to maturity falls to under twelve months. These are considered low risk assets where the possibility of loss of principal or investment income is small. These would include sterling investments which would not be defined as capital expenditure with:

1. The UK Government (such as the Debt Management Account deposit facility, UK treasury bills or a gilt with less than one year to maturity).
2. Supranational bonds of less than one year's duration.
3. A local authority, housing association, parish council or community council.
4. Pooled investment vehicles (such as money market funds) that have been awarded a high credit rating by a credit rating agency. For category 4 this covers pooled

investment vehicles, such as money market funds, rated XXX by Standard and Poor's, Moody's and / or Fitch rating agencies.

5. A body that is considered of a high credit quality (such as a bank or building society) For category 5 this covers bodies with a minimum Short-Term rating of F1 (or the equivalent) as rated by Standard and Poor's, Moody's and / or Fitch rating agencies.

Within these bodies, and in accordance with the Code, the Council has set additional criteria to set the time and amount of monies which will be invested in these bodies. These criteria are in the body of the report.

Non-specified investments – are any other type of investment (i.e. not defined as specified above). The identification and rationale supporting the selection of these other investments and the maximum limits to be applied are set out below. Non-specified investments would include any sterling investments with:

| | Non Specified Investment Category | Limit (£) |
|----|---|------------------|
| a. | The Council's own banker if it fails to meet the basic credit criteria. In this instance balances will be minimised as far as is possible. | £11m |
| b. | Building societies not meeting the basic security requirements under the specified investments. The operation of some building societies does not require a credit rating, although in every other respect the security of the society would match similarly sized societies with ratings. The Council may use such building societies which have a minimum asset size of £500m, but will restrict these type of investments to £9m. | £15m |
| c. | Any bank or building society that has a minimum long-term credit rating of A- , for deposits with a maturity of greater than one year (including forward deals in excess of one year from inception to repayment). | £11m |
| d. | Any non-rated subsidiary of a credit rated institution included in the specified investment category. These institutions will be included as an investment category subject to limit of £5m for a period of 6 months. | £9m |

NOTE 1. This Authority will seek further advice on the appropriateness and associated risks with investments in these categories.

The monitoring of investment counterparties - The credit rating of counterparties will be monitored regularly. The Council receives credit rating information (changes, rating watches and rating outlooks) from Link as and when ratings change, and counterparties are checked promptly On occasion ratings may be downgraded when an investment has already been made. The criteria used are such that a minor downgrading should not affect the full receipt of the principal and interest. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria will be removed from the list immediately by the Head of Finance, and if required new counterparties which meet the criteria will be added to the list.

5 APPROVED COUNTRIES FOR INVESTMENTS

This list is based on those countries which have sovereign ratings of AA- or higher, (we show the lowest rating from Fitch, Moody's and S&P) and also, (except - at the time of writing - for Hong Kong and Luxembourg), have banks operating in sterling markets which have credit ratings of green or above in the Link creditworthiness service.

Based on lowest available rating

AAA

- Australia
- Denmark
- Germany
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Singapore
- Sweden
- Switzerland

AA+

- Canada
- Finland
- U.S.A.

AA

- Abu Dhabi (UAE)
- France

AA-

- Belgium
- Qatar
- U.K

THIS LIST IS AS AT 19.12.22

6 TREASURY MANAGEMENT SCHEME OF DELEGATION

(i) Council

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- approval of annual strategy.
- approval of /amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses, treasury management policy statement and treasury management practices
- budget consideration and approval.

(ii) Finance & Performance Committee

- approval of division of responsibilities;
- receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations;
- reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to Council .

(iii) SLT/ Head of Finance

- reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to the responsible body.

7 THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT ROLE OF THE SECTION 151 OFFICER

The S151 (responsible) officer

- Recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- Submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- Submitting budgets and budget variations;
- Receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- Reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- Ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- Ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- Recommending the appointment of external service providers.